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ABSTRACT.

Dry eye disease (DED) is a common, multifactorial ocular condition with major impact on vision and quality of life. It is now well recognized that the pathophysiology of chronic DED can include a cycle of inflammation involving both innate and adaptive immune responses. Recently, in vitro/in vivo models have been used to obtain a better understanding of DED-related inflammatory processes at molecular/cellular levels although they do not truly reproduce the complex and chronic hallmarks of human DED. In clinical DED research, advanced techniques such as impression cytology, conjunctival biopsy, in vivo confocal microscopy and multiplex tear analyses have allowed an improved assessment of inflammation in DED patients. This was supported by the identification of reliable inflammatory markers including matrix metalloproteinase-9, human leucocyte antigen-DR or intercellular adhesion molecule-1 in tears and impression cytology samples. One of the current therapeutic strategies focuses on breaking the inflammatory cycle perpetuating the ocular surface disease, and preclinical/clinical research has led to the development of promising anti-inflammatory compounds. For instance, cyclosporine, already approved in the United States, has recently been authorized in Europe to treat DED associated with severe keratitis. In addition, other agents such as corticosteroids, doxycycline and essential fatty acids, through their anti-inflammatory properties, show encouraging results. We now have a clearer understanding of the inflammatory processes involved in DED, and there is hope that the still emerging preclinical/clinical findings will be translated into new and highly effective therapies for patients in the near future.
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Introduction

Dry eye disease (DED) is a distressing multifactorial condition of major impact on patients’ vision and quality of life, with disease symptoms that can seriously hinder daily activities. This condition affects between 5% and 35% of adults worldwide (Dry Eye WorkShop 2007b). Triggering factors include intrinsic and extrinsic elements such as age, gender, hormones, autoimmune disorders, local environment, use of video display, contact lens wear and exposure to medications/preservatives (e.g. benzalkonium chloride [BAK]), all potentially leading to secretory and/or evaporative DED (Dry Eye WorkShop 2007b). Triggering factors include intrinsic and extrinsic elements such as age, gender, hormones, autoimmune disorders, local environment, use of video display, contact lens wear and exposure to medications/preservatives (e.g. benzalkonium chloride [BAK]), all potentially leading to secretory and/or evaporative DED (Dry Eye WorkShop 2007b). In particular, low humidity and/or windy environmental conditions greatly contribute to ocular surface desiccation (Dry Eye WorkShop 2007a). Furthermore, dry eye sensations and symptoms were recently confirmed to be enhanced by seasonal conditions (van Setten et al. 2016).

Because of the multifactorial nature of the disease and frequent discordance between patients’ symptomatology and
ocular surface clinical signs, diagnosis of DED and assessment of its severity are often challenging. Recently, the ODISSEY European Consensus Group has recommended a practical algorithm to be used in clinical settings, facilitating diagnosis of severe DED (Baudouin et al. 2014).

In 2007, the Definition and Classification Committee for the International Dry Eye Workshop highlighted the crucial roles of hyperosmolarity and inflammation in DED (Dry Eye Workshop 2007a) and how the interdependence between these factors may lead to cell apoptosis, ocular surface damage, visual impairment and other associated symptoms. This review aims not to look primarily at intrinsic and extrinsic causes of DED but rather to highlight the contribution of inflammation, where clearly present, in the course of the disease.

Diagnosis of DED relied previously on blunt tools including vital dye staining (e.g. corneal fluorescein staining—CFS), estimation of tear break-up time and Schirmer’s testing. However, in recent years, there have been significant technological developments to better identify DED-related inflammation. This review will discuss the different experimental models currently available to understand this process at the molecular and cellular levels. Additionally, the latest techniques allowing the detection of inflammation on the ocular surface and in tears together with the advances made in developing anti-inflammatory therapies will be presented.

Vicious Circle of DED

Over the years, based on numerous experimental models mimicking DED and on new technologies to measure inflammation and explore biomarkers, growing evidence showed that both hyperosmolarity and inflammation could affect the ocular surface in an independent as well as in a synergistic manner. These findings led to the redefinition of DED to include the pivotal roles of these two factors in this disease (Dry Eye Workshop 2007a). Despite the multifactorial nature of DED, this disease can be chronically self-maintained through a cycle of local and systemic responses, which include inflammation (Dry Eye Workshop 2007a). Dry eye disease (DED) related inflammation involves both innate and adaptive immune responses. The innate immunity provides an immediate, non-specific defence response, while the adaptive (or acquired) immune system confers long-lasting immunity after an initial encounter with a specific antigen.

Triggering factors of inflammation

Ocular surface immune homeostasis is regulated by resident lymphocytes (e.g. CD8⁺, γδ and natural killer T-cells; Bonaccorsi et al. 2015) and CD4⁺ regulatory T-cells. These interact with anti-inflammatory factors, such as interleukin (IL)-1 receptor antagonist, transforming growth factor (TGF)-β, and matrix protease inhibitors like tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase (TIMP)-1 (Gupta et al. 1996; Sobrin et al. 2000; Solomon et al. 2001; Barabino & Dana 2007; Stern et al. 2013). Stress factors including environment challenges, infections, endogenic stress, autoimmunity and genetic factors may all disturb the finely tuned homeostatic balance existing on the ocular surface and activate an acute inflammatory response (Fig. 1; Baudouin et al. 2013; McDermott et al. 2005; Stern et al. 2013).

Increase in tear film osmolarity, possibly triggered by dysfunctional tear secretion (aqueous tear-deficient dry eye) and/or excessive water evaporation with normal lacrimal secretory function ( evaporative dry eye) may lead to hyperosmotic, desiccating and mechanical/shear stresses (due to loss of hydration/lubrication), also initiating innate inflammatory events (Dry Eye Workshop 2007a).

Furthermore, the hyperosmolar-mediated epithelial damage causes exposure and chronic stimulation of corneal nerve endings (Dastjerdi & Dana 2009; Stevenson et al. 2012). The reduction in corneal sensitivity promotes neurogenic stress, contributing to impairment of ocular surface homeostasis (Bourcier et al. 2005; McGregor et al. 2013).

Increased blinking and higher reflex tear secretion can result in release of neurotrophic factors such as nerve growth factor (NGF) as well as several neuropeptides (e.g. substance P, calcitonin and neuropeptide Y), affecting immune cell degranulation, blood flow and extravasation which may lead to neurogenic inflammation on the ocular surface and within the lacrimal gland. An inflamed lacrimal gland may produce ‘toxic tears’ containing pro-inflammatory cytokines, disrupting ocular surface homeostasis and exacerbating an innate inflammatory response (Rolando et al. 2005; Dry Eye Workshop 2007a; Mantelli et al. 2010; Lambiase et al. 2012).

Local immune responses

In the early stages of DED, exposure of corneal and conjunctival epithelia to injury induces the activation of stress-associated signalling cascades including the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and nuclear factor κB (NFκB) pathways (Li et al. 2004, 2006; Luo et al. 2004, 2005; Stevenson et al. 2012; Stern et al. 2013), resulting in expression of pleiotropic pro-inflammatory cytokines/chemokines (e.g. tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8 and NGF) and matrix degrading proteases (e.g. matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-9 and MMP-3) by corneal and conjunctival epithelial cells (Luo et al. 2004; Li et al. 2006; Na et al. 2012; Stern et al. 2013). The development of such a pro-inflammatory environment is further supported with (1) a decrease in the release of anti-inflammatory TGF-β by conjunctival goblet cells in the initial stages of the disease (Plagugfelder et al. 2008a), (2) an inhibition of immune-protective cells such as regulatory T-cells (Siepmasko et al. 2008; Stevenson et al. 2012), (3) an increase in MAPK, TNF and Fas-Fas ligand pathway-mediated apoptosis of epithelial and goblet cells (Yeh et al. 2003; Luo et al. 2007; Stevenson et al. 2012), and (4) a decrease in apoptosis of ocular surface inflammatory cells (Perez et al. 2009; Gao et al. 2013).

The pro-inflammatory milieu upregulates expression of receptors to inflammatory effectors (e.g. human leucocyte antigen [HLA]-DR, CD40, CD40 ligand, toll-like receptor 4 and 5 and C–C chemokine receptor 5) and adhesion receptors (e.g. intercellular adhesion molecule [ICAM]-1), facilitating inflammatory mediators’ recruitment and migration from the ocular surface (Calone et al. 2010; Redfern et al. 2015). There is also an increase in expression and activation of enzymes involved in the innate immunity (e.g.
acidic mammalian chitinase; Musumeci et al. 2009, 2008) and in apoptosis (e.g. transglutaminase-2; Aragona et al. 2015). Together, these cascades of events contribute to amplify and perpetuate the non-self-healing innate inflammation responses, consequently resulting in cellular/tissue damage.

Epithelial-derived pro-inflammatory cytokines activate immature resident antigen-presenting cells (APCs), which are mainly dendritic cells, on the ocular surface. Mature APCs migrate to the regional lymph nodes and initiate an adaptive immune response by priming naïve CD4+ T-cells including T helper (Th1) and Th17 cells (Niederkorn et al. 2006; De Paiva et al. 2007, 2009; El Annan et al. 2009; Stevenson et al. 2012; Stern et al. 2013). Through activated angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis, these inflammatory mediators traffic back to the ocular surface, where Th1-secreted interferon (IFN)– and Th17-secreted IL-17 increase cytokine production, induce epithelial and goblet cell apoptosis and alter conjunctival homoeostasis, perpetuating a chronic inflammatory process (Pflugfelder et al. 2008a).

**Experimental Data Supporting DED Inflammation**

Over the past two decades, experimental *in vitro* cell-based assays and *in vivo* animal models have greatly contributed...
to an improved understanding of the effects of inflammation in DED (Dry Eye WorkShop 2007d; Calonge et al. 2010; Wei & Asbell 2014).

**In vitro cell-based models**

These assays primarily use human corneal, conjunctival or limbal epithelial cells exposed to hyperosmotic/desiccating stress, induced either by increasing osmotic conditions to 350–500 mOsm or by exposing cells to air after culture medium removal. These experimental models have allowed to easily simulate stress factors known to trigger DED and therefore to learn more about the resulting inflammatory events.

For example, exposure of human limbal/corneal epithelial cells to hyperosmotic/desiccating stress induces activation of MAPK signalling pathway and expression of cytokines (e.g. IL-1β, TNF-α, IL-8 and IL-6; Higuchi et al. 2011; Li et al. 2006) and MMPs (e.g. MMP-9, MMP-1, MMP-13 and MMP-3; Li et al. 2004). Furthermore, IFN-γ-stimulated inflammation results in increased HLA-DR and ICAM-1 expression on primary epithelial cell surface (Zhan et al. 2003).

In the past 10 years, in vitro three-dimensional models of human corneal epithelium have been developed and used as dry eye models after exposure to controlled environmental conditions (i.e. <40% humidity and 40°C temperature; Meloni et al. 2011; Barabino et al. 2016). Similarly, in vitro models of conjunctival epithelium and bioengineered lacrimal glands are also being investigated and developed in laboratories to better simulate micro-environmental conditions of both physio- and pathological ocular surfaces (Chung et al. 2007; Hirayama et al. 2013; Lu et al. 2015).

Although in vitro assays are relatively simple and useful models to understand ocular inflammation at molecular/cellular levels, they still fail to truly represent its complexity.

**In vivo animal models**

Several in vivo models, mainly in rodents, have been designed to study ocular surface inflammatory mechanisms (Barabino & Dana 2004; Dry Eye WorkShop 2007d; Calonge et al. 2010). Among them, a mouse model of DED consists of pharmacological blockage of lacrimal tear production by transdermal application of scopolamine and exposure to environmental desiccating stress (Dursun et al. 2002). The observed reduction in tear production and clearance, decrease in conjunctival goblet cells and morphological changes in conjunctival epithelial cells all resemble those in human DED. The utility of this model is not that it induces DED similarly to the human disease, but that the resulting inflammation and damage share strong similarities.

Additionally, a different model exposing mice to specific low-humidity environment showed development of typical clinical signs similar to DED patients (Barabino et al. 2005). Animal models have shown systematic presence of inflammation with DED-like signs and have allowed identification of key inflammatory effectors (Calonge et al. 2010). For example, Niederkorn et al. (2006) proved that CD4+ T-cells are key inflammatory players in mice exposed to environmental desiccating stress and adoptive transferred CD4+ T-cells could produce keratoconjunctivitis sicca (KCS) in wild-type mice not exposed to injury. The importance of regulatory T-cells in ocular surface homeostasis has also been studied in a desiccating stress-induced mouse model of DED (Siemasko et al. 2008). In a similar experimental model, Schaumburg et al. (2011) showed that ocular surface APCs are essential in DED initiation and development, supporting the paradigm that dry eye can result from autoimmune causes, which often involve inflammation.

Other in vivo models have been developed in mice to reproduce pathophysiological mechanisms observed in dry eye. For example, topical instillation of 0.2% BAK led to inflammatory changes resembling those seen in human and this BAK-induced dry eye model may potentially be useful to test anti-inflammatory therapies in DED (Lin et al. 2011). Moreover, a mouse model of aqueous tear-deficient DED was characterized after extra-orbital lacrimal gland excision, which induced decreased aqueous tear secretion, increased corneal epitheliopathy and ocular surface inflammation and immunity (Stevenson et al. 2014).

Likewise, some dog species spontaneously develop DED due to lacrimal gland problems that may be immune-mediated or might have other causes. This canine DED model caused by lacrimal gland dysfunction (both primary and/or nictitating) has been used to identify biochemical abnormalities in ocular mucins (Calonge et al. 2010) and to demonstrate positive anti-inflammatory effects of cyclosporine (CsA; Kaswan et al. 1989).

Animal models are very powerful experimental systems to simulate DED inflammation and to investigate potential treatments although none manages to reproduce all aspects of the chronic hallmark of human DED.

**Clinical data supporting inflammation in DED**

Over the years, techniques have been developed (1) to diagnose DED inflammation, (2) to identify and validate ocular surface inflammatory biomarkers, (3) to further understand the DED inflammatory mechanisms, and (4) to assess clinical efficacy of anti-inflammatory DED treatments.

**Exploratory techniques and biomarkers**

 Conjunctival hyperaemia is a hallmark of ocular inflammation that can be objectively evaluated by anterior segment photography and/or with the use of grading scales (e.g. McMonnies scale; McMonnies & Ho 1991). Tear film hyperosmolarity can also be an indirect sign of inflammation. Indeed, although hyperosmolarity is regarded as the key triggering factor of ocular surface inflammation, inflammation itself may in turn lead to dysfunction of tear secretion and therefore increased osmolarity (Niederkorn et al. 2006; Dry Eye WorkShop 2007c). Currently available systems designed to measure tear osmolarity (e.g. TearLab Osmolarity System) make systematic clinical evaluation of tear film osmolarity feasible (Sullivan et al. 2004).

 Matrix metalloproteinase-9 has been shown to contribute to the DED inflammatory process (Luo et al. 2004; Plougfelder 2011). Its expression by epithelial cells and infiltrating leukocytes as well as its MMP-3 and TIMP-1-mediated enzymatic activity increases on the ocular surface of patients with
dysfunctional tear syndrome (Sobrin et al. 2000; Chotikavanich et al. 2009; Iovieno et al. 2009). Recent commercialization of a MMP-9 detection test (InflammaDry® Detector, RPS) makes it a potentially good biomarker of inflammation in DED. This device proved to be qualitatively sensitive and specific for DED diagnosis and to well-correlate with other clinical tests in two studies, although not all patients with dry eye expressed this indicator of cell damage (Sambursky et al. 2013; Messmer et al. 2014). However, poor correlation between this test and tear osmolarity was recently found in patients with mild DED, suggesting that it may be more suitable for diagnosis of moderate to severe DED (Schargus et al. 2015).

Recently, Jackson et al. (2016) found significant correlations between tear IFN-γ concentrations, tear osmolarity, total ocular surface staining and Schirmer’s test score, all key clinical diagnostic parameters for DED, suggesting IFN-γ as a potential biomarker of tear hyperosmolarity associated with evaporative DED.

Hyperosmolarity induces HLA-DR overexpression in human conjunctival epithelial cells (Brignole et al. 2000; Barabino et al. 2010; Versura et al. 2011), and this upregulation may be driven by IFN-γ as shown in Sjögren’s patients (Tsubota et al. 1999). In 1992, Baudouin et al. showed that impression cytology/immunohistochemistry could specifically detect HLA-DR expression and therefore local conjunctival inflammation (Fig. 2A; Baudouin et al. 1992). These findings were later confirmed in the first report showing quantification of HLA-DR expression in impression cytology specimens by flow cytometry (Baudouin et al. 1997). Furthermore, using similar techniques in dry eye samples, the number of goblet cells was shown to negatively correlate with HLA-DR expression (Pisella et al. 2000). More recently, Yafawi et al. (2013) demonstrated that impression cytology detecting HLA-DR as a biomarker of ocular surface inflammation was a sensitive, reliable, simple and non-invasive technique for investigating DED inflammation. Also, quantitative HLA-DR detection by impression cytology has been used in several DED clinical trials, and Epstein et al. (2013) have published a standard operating procedure for use of this inflammatory biomarker in multicentre clinical trials. Using impression cytology, one study showed that one drop of low-concentration clobetasone butyrate twice daily significantly decreased HLA-DR expression in Sjögren’s patients (Aragona et al. 2013). Furthermore, topical CsA significantly reduced HLA-DR expression in dry eye patients (Brignole et al. 2001; Leonardi et al. 2016) and in a large randomized study, and Brignole-Baudouin et al. (2011) demonstrated that oral supplementation of omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids decreased HLA-DR expression on conjunctival cells in DED patients. Similar to HLA-DR, ICAM-1 is upregulated on the conjunctival epithelium in ocular surface inflammation and could represent a potential biomarker (Tsubota et al. 1999). Stern et al. (2002) have identified lymphocytic infiltration and immunoreactivity for HLA-DR and ICAM-1 using conjunctival biopsy, confirming conjunctival inflammation in DED patients. Moreover, with the same technique, Kunert et al. showed that topical CsA significantly reduced the number of activated lymphocytes and increased the number of goblet cells in DED patients (Kunert et al. 2000, 2002).

**High-throughput screening**

Recent technological development has allowed multiplex detection of pro-inflammatory cytokines/chemokines in ocular tissues, cells and tears and identification of expression patterns.
specific to different immune-based ocular disorders (e.g. allergy and active Sjögren’s syndrome; Enríquez-de-Salamanca & Calonge 2008). For example, multiplex immunobead assays have allowed identification of specific pattern of cytokines released by corneal and conjunctival epithelia in two different mouse strains subjected to desiccating stress: C57BL/6 mice had increased tear levels of Th-1 cytokines, while BALB/c mice of Th-2 cytokines (Corrales et al. 2007; Yoon et al. 2007). These findings may suggest that blocking the production of certain cytokines or their receptors may modulate the ocular surface immune-inflammatory response in DED. Although the great amount of data generated by the multiplex-based technology may sometimes be difficult to interpret, it provides valuable information on the main ocular source for specific cytokines/chemokines.

Technological advances in mass spectrometry with proteomics, metabolomics, lipidomics and glycomics have allowed the development of analytical methods of tears and conjunctival impression. These may provide better understanding of the role of specific molecules in DED inflammation and help with diagnosis, management and treatment (Zhou et al. 2012; Soria et al. 2013).

**Imaging techniques**

*In vivo* confocal microscopy (IVCM) is a non-invasive and powerful imaging technique that allows *in vivo* visualization of the ocular surface at the cellular level (Fig. 2B). In DED, IVCM is used to observe squamous abnormalities in corneal and conjunctival epithelia, changes in subepithelial corneal nerve plexus, dysfunctional meibomian glands, as well as the presence of inflammatory cell infiltration, goblet cell density and apoptosis, all good indicators of DED inflammation (Vilani et al. 2013, 2014). It has also been used to make qualitative and quantitative assessments of DED progression and severity (Qazi et al. 2014).

Another important imaging tool used in clinical practice is the anterior segment optical coherence tomography (AS-OCT). This technique is a non-contact optical system that captures cross-sectional images of the cornea and anterior chamber. It allows quantitative analyses such as tear meniscus measurements and therefore may be useful and applicable for DED diagnosis and evaluation (Ibrahim et al. 2010; Lim 2015).

**Treatments Targeting DED Inflammation**

Numerous anti-inflammatory agents are being developed as treatments for DED in hopes that the vicious circle of DED may be broken by reducing the amount of inflammation on the ocular surface and in the lacrimal unit.

**Corticosteroids**

Dry eye disease (DED) is consensually listed by the United States Federal Regulations as steroid-responsive inflammatory conditions (Dry Eye WorkShop 2007c). Although corticosteroids are not explicitly indicated for treating DED, they are the most commonly prescribed short-term treatment for managing DED-associated inflammation.

Corticosteroids act on various inflammatory responses including ICAM-1-mediated cell adhesion, cytokines/chemokines/MMPs expression and induction of lymphocyte apoptosis (Pflugfelder 2004; De Paiva et al. 2006; Yagi et al. 2014). They have been shown to clinically improve DED symptoms in several clinical trials (Dry Eye WorkShop 2007c; Aragona et al. 2013, 2015). However, their long-term use in ocular conditions is not recommended because of steroid-related side-effects such as increased intraocular pressure and cataract formation (Marsh & Pflugfelder 1999).

**Cyclosporine**

The immunosuppressive properties of CsA were first demonstrated in the canine spontaneous DED model (Kaswan et al. 1989). In a large multicentre study, 0.05–0.1% CsA treatment significantly reduced HLA-DR expression and to a lesser extent expression of other inflammatory and apoptotic markers in patients with moderate to severe DED (Brignole et al. 2001; Galatoire et al. 2003). A 6-month treatment with 0.05–0.1% CsA resulted in a decrease in activated lymphocytes and an increase in goblet cells in DED patients (Kunert et al. 2000, 2002). Topical CsA increased goblet cell density and conjunctival production of immunomodulatory TGF-β2 in DED patients (Pflugfelder et al. 2008b). In addition, 6-month treatment with 0.05% CsA decreased conjunctival IL-6 expression in patients with moderate to severe DED (Turner et al. 2000). According to available clinical data, topical CsA treatment may take 6–8 weeks to see any significant improvement in DED inflammation with no major safety concerns (Gumus & Cavanagh 2009; Aragona 2014; Yagi & Gurdal 2014).

In 2003, topical 0.05% CsA emulsion (Restasis®©, Allergan) received approval from the Food and Drug Administration to increase tear production in patients whose tear production is presumed to be suppressed due to ocular inflammation associated with KCS. Restasis was never approved by the European Medicines Agency (EMA). Topical 1 mg/mL CsA cationic emulsion (Ikervis®, Santen) was approved in 2015 by the EMA and is the only CsA-containing treatment licensed in Europe. It is indicated for severe keratitis in adult patients with dry eye which has not improved despite treatment with tear substitutes.

**Essential fatty acids**

Several studies showed that oral administration of omega-3 and omega-6 essential fatty acids improves both DED symptoms and inflammation (Roncone et al. 2010; Deinema et al. 2016; Epitropoulos et al. 2016). Omega-6 administration has been shown to improve ocular surface signs and ocular discomfort symptoms in Sjögren’s patients (Aragona et al. 2005). In addition, omega-3/omega-6 therapy improved DED signs (i.e. lissamine green staining but not tear break-up time or Schirmer’s test) and reduced ocular surface expression of HLA-DR (Barabino et al. 2003). These results were confirmed in a multicentre, randomized study; supplementation with omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids reduced HLA-DR expression in patients with DED although there was no significant difference versus placebo in ocular symptoms (Brignole-Baudo et al. 2011). Randomized multicentre studies are currently ongoing to further investigate omega-3 fatty acids’
efficacy in DED. In addition, topical eye drops containing omega-3 fatty acids have recently become available and are currently under investigation for treating DED (Messmer 2015).

Other anti-inflammatory therapies

Other potential anti-inflammatory agents that have shown very encouraging results are currently being investigated in experimental models and/or clinical trials. For example, tetracycline and its derivatives (e.g. doxycycline) possess anti-inflammatory and anti-angiogenic properties, which make them potential candidates for treating DED inflammation. Although tetracyclines showed promising results in experimental DED, ocular rosacea and chronic meibomian gland dysfunc-
tion (Stone & Chodosh 2004; Yoo et al. 2005; De Paiva et al. 2006; De Paiva & Pflugfelder 2008), randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trials are yet to be conducted.

Another investigational molecule called SAR1118 is a lymphocyte func-
tion-associated antigen-1 antagonist. This compound inhibits T-cells’ activa-
tion, adhesion, migration, proliferation and cytokine release by blocking T-
cells’ interaction with epithelial and endothelial cells and APCs (Murphy et al. 2011). SAR1118 showed promising results in a prospective, double-
masked study in dry eye patients, improving tear production and ocular symp-
toms, but these positive results need to be confirmed in additional clinical trials (Semma et al. 2012).

Other anti-inflammatory com-
ounds currently under investigation for treating DED, such as anti-inflam-
matory CD44, tacrolimus, voclosporin, anti-TNF-α agents, androgens and resolvins, all aim to prevent chronic inflammation by targeting specific inflammatory pathways/effectors. To date, only CsA has been approved by the American and European Regula-
tory Authorities for DED treatment.

There are likely multiple reasons why anti-inflammatory treatments currently only work on a subset of DED patients. Disease variability, lack of correlation of signs and symptoms, inappropriate dosage of experimental anti-inflammatory treatments and other factors contributing to disease severity like hyperosmolarity may be involved. However, the constant progress and improvement in agents, treatment paradigms and dosing are already helping some DED patients and are likely to be applicable to more as this complex disease becomes more well understood.

Conclusions

It is now well recognized that hyperosmolarity and inflammation work inter-
dependently as key factors in some forms of DED. Not only they can act concomitantly on the ocular surface, but one may also lead to the other. Therefore, regardless of the initiating cause of this multifaceted disease, a self-sustained inflammatory response can develop on the ocular surface that can lead to chronic DED.

Over the years, several in vitro and in vivo models have been developed to get a deeper understanding of the DED inflammation process, and they con-
tinue to be used to evaluate efficacy and safety of new anti-inflammatory thera-
pies. Furthermore, progress in advanced and powerful techniques in the identifi-
cation/detection of reliable inflamma-
tory biomarkers has allowed a better assessment of inflammation in DED patients. Identification and validation of new inflammatory markers may not only contribute to early DED diagnosis, but also help with assessment of disease severity, progression and response to treatment. These biomarkers may also allow identification of patients at risk of disease progression to a more severe stage, which may require different treat-
ments and disease management.

One current strategy in the develop-
ment of new treatments includes tar-
ging specific inflammatory effectors/ pathways to break the vicious circle of DED and therefore prevent disease chronicity and progression. The chal-
lenge to achieve a significant improve-
ment in the management of DED explains the plethora of different com-
ponents being investigated as potential anti-inflammatory treatments. To date, this approach has produced promising results from both experimental and clinical trials that led to market approval of CsA in both the United States and in Europe. It is certainly hoped that the prolific ongoing research in the field of DED inflammation will produce highly effec-
tive diagnostic and more specific ther-
apeutic advances that will benefit DED patients in the coming years.
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