

---

Ashkanfar A, Langton DJ, Joyce TJ. [Does a micro-grooved trunnion stem surface finish improve fixation and reduce fretting wear at the taper junction of total hip replacements? A finite element evaluation.](#) *Journal of Biomechanics* 2017, 63, 47-54.

**Copyright:**

© 2017. This manuscript version is made available under the [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license](#)

**DOI link to article:**

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2017.07.027>

**Date deposited:**

16/12/2017

**Embargo release date:**

10 August 2018



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International licence](#)

1 Does a micro-grooved trunnion stem surface finish improve fixation and  
2 reduce fretting wear at the taper junction of total hip replacements? A finite  
3 element evaluation

4 Ariyan Ashkanfar<sup>1\*</sup>, David J. Langton<sup>2</sup>, Thomas J. Joyce<sup>1</sup>

5 <sup>1</sup> School of Mechanical and Systems Engineering, Newcastle University, Stephenson Building,  
6 Claremont Road, Newcastle Upon Tyne, NE1 7RU, UK.

7 <sup>2</sup> North Tees Explant Centre (NTEC), University Hospital of North Tees, North Tees, UK.

8 **Abstract**

9 The generation of particulate debris at the taper junction of total hip replacements (THRs),  
10 can cause failure of the artificial hip. The taper surfaces of femoral heads and trunnions of  
11 femoral stems are generally machined to a certain roughness to enhance fixation. However,  
12 the effect of the surface roughness of these surfaces on the fixation, wear and consequently  
13 clinical outcomes of the design is largely unknown. In this study, we asked whether a micro-  
14 grooved trunnion surface finish (1) improves the fixation and (2) reduces the wear rate at the  
15 taper junction of THRs. We used 3D finite element (FE) models of THRs to, firstly,  
16 investigate the effect of initial fixation of a Cobalt-Chromium femoral head with a smooth  
17 taper surface mated with a Titanium (1) micro-grooved and (2) smooth, trunnion surface  
18 finishes. Secondly, we used a computational FE wear model to compare the wear evolution  
19 between the models, which was then validated against wear measurements of the taper  
20 surface of explanted femoral heads. The fixation at the taper junction was found to be better  
21 for the smooth couplings. Over a 7 million load cycle analysis *in-silico*, the linear wear depth  
22 and the total material loss was around 3.2 and 1.4 times higher for the femoral heads mated  
23 with micro-grooved trunnions. It was therefore concluded that smooth taper and trunnion  
24 surfaces will provide better fixation at the taper junction and reduce the volumetric wear  
25 rates.

26 **Keywords:** wear modelling, finite element analysis, total hip replacement, taper junction,  
27 surface roughness.

28

29

---

30 \* Corresponding author. Tel: +44 759 198 0781. E-mail address: [ariyan.ashkanfar@gmail.com](mailto:ariyan.ashkanfar@gmail.com) (A.  
31 Ashkanfar).

# 1 Introduction

2 The use of separate components (modularity) in total hip replacements (THR) is very  
3 common, allowing flexibility intra-operatively to facilitate optimum prosthetic functionality  
4 and anatomical fit for individual patients. In addition, modularity allows the use of different  
5 materials for different components – e.g. cobalt-chromium (CoCr) for femoral heads due to  
6 good wear properties, and titanium (Ti) for femoral stems in order to encourage bone  
7 ingrowth. On the femoral side of a THR, the modular connection involves a taper junction  
8 between the femoral stem (trunnion) and the internal taper of the femoral head (see  
9 Figure 1).

10 Despite the many advantages of femoral component modularity, it introduces another contact  
11 interface which may lead to wear particle generation. Recently, many reports have identified  
12 THR failure with taper junction wear (Brock et al., 2015; Crowninshield et al., 2004;  
13 Esposito et al., 2014; Kop et al., 2012; Langton et al., 2012; Langton et al., 2016; Langton et  
14 al., 2008; Lavigne et al., 2011; Panagiotidou et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2005). This is fretting  
15 wear and is a result of contact stress and relative micromotion at the taper-trunnion interface  
16 of THRs (Delaunay et al., 2010). Wear at the taper junction depends on a number of factors  
17 including: head size (Langton et al., 2012), taper junction angular mismatch (Ashkanfar et al.,  
18 2017), implant alignment (Donaldson et al., 2014), assembly impaction (English et al., 2016),  
19 taper offsets (Langton et al., 2012) and taper length (Brock et al., 2015) as well as surface  
20 finish which is the focus of this study.

21 The taper surfaces inside CoCr femoral heads are typically manufactured with a smooth  
22 surface finish with a Roughness Average ( $R_a$ ) of around  $0.4\mu\text{m}$  (Munir et al., 2015). In  
23 contrast, trunnion surface finishes of femoral stems generally fall into two groups. Some  
24 stems such as the SROM (DePuy) and Exeter (Zimmer) have smooth trunnion surfaces with  
25 approximately the same  $R_a$  value as the femoral taper but others such as Corail (DePuy) have  
26 micro-grooves machined on the trunnion surfaces with an  $R_a$  value of more than  $2.5\mu\text{m}$   
27 (Munir et al., 2015).

28 The claimed benefit of such micro-grooves is to improve the integrity of the connection  
29 between the trunnion and a femoral head taper and enhance the fixation of the two  
30 components (Munir et al., 2015). In this study, we used finite element (FE) models of  
31 commercial THRs with different trunnion surface finishes, while considering plasticity in the  
32 FE analyses to investigate whether these claimed benefits of micro-grooves are correct. We

1 also used a validated FE based wear model to simulate the wear at the taper junction of THRs  
2 to investigate whether such micro-grooves help to reduce the wear rate at the taper junction  
3 or not. The FE wear results were compared with CMM (Co-ordinate Measuring Machine)  
4 wear measurement of retrieved femoral head tapers to validate the computational wear  
5 analysis.

## 6 **2 Method**

### 7 **2.1 Finite element model**

8 Two femoral stem FE models, one with machined micro-grooves and the other with a smooth  
9 trunnion surface finish, both coupled with a 36 mm diameter CoCr femoral head with a  
10 smooth taper surface finish, were modelled in ABAQUS (6.14-3 ABAQUS Inc) (see  
11 Figure 2). A base-locked taper mismatch – where the taper and trunnion surfaces engaged at  
12 the base of the interface– of 3' between taper and trunnion was selected (see  
13 Figure 2) (Ashkanfar et al., 2017). The key difference between the models was the trunnion  
14 surface roughness as shown in  
15 Figure 2.

16 Both models were meshed with highly refined mesh at the taper interface, using eight-node  
17 bilinear hexahedral reduced integration elements (C3D8R). The elements at the contact  
18 interface were carefully matched and this was followed by a mesh study. The procedure of  
19 the mesh study is comprehensively explained in a previous paper (English et al., 2015). The  
20 approximate element size at the interaction for both models, although it was not necessary for  
21 the smooth coupling, was reduced down to 0.012 mm in order to model the micro-grooves  
22 accurately.

23 During hip replacement surgery, the head is impacted onto the femoral stem of the THR. The  
24 magnitude of the initial impaction force applied intra-operatively affects both the contact  
25 pressure and micromotion at the taper junction and ultimately the extent of any subsequent  
26 fretting wear. In a previous study (English et al., 2016), we showed that the impact duration  
27 for a polymer tipped impactor with a metal head was 0.7ms and also that 4kN impaction  
28 force is required to provide fixation and minimise the wear rate at the taper junction (see  
29 Figure 3). As such, a 4 kN initial assembly force, known as a medium impaction force  
30 (English et al., 2016), was applied to fix the head onto the stem prior to the wear analyses.

1 The impaction analysis was executed as a dynamic implicit analysis (see (English et al.,  
2 2016) and Figure 3 for full details). Furthermore, in this study, the plasticity included in the  
3 FE impaction analysis and simulated as an FE Elastic-Perfectly Plastic analysis, was  
4 undertaken to investigate whether any plastic deformation of the micro-grooves would occur  
5 due to the initial assembly force.

6 The loads and rotations of a walking step were assigned on the models as shown in Figure 4  
7 and described earlier in detail in (Ashkanfar et al., 2017). These loading and boundary  
8 conditions create an efficient and realistic walking simulation which was applied to a  
9 dynamic implicit analysis step discretised into 10 equal time intervals over a 1.2 s period.

10 The combination of a CoCr femoral head fitted on a Ti femoral stem is commonly used in  
11 THRs. The material and contact interaction properties of CoCr and Ti were assigned on the  
12 femoral head and femoral stem respectively as presented in Table 1. Finite sliding with the  
13 penalty contact formulation in ABAQUS solver was used to model the friction at the taper  
14 junction with a friction coefficient of 0.21 (Fessler and Fricker, 1989).

## 15 **2.2 Wear model**

16 The Dissipated Energy wear law was used and implemented into FE analysis. A fretting  
17 energy wear coefficient of  $1.31 \times 10^{-8} \text{ MPa}^{-1}$  for the CoCr alloy on the Ti alloy was used in  
18 this law (Zhang et al., 2013). As explained in (English et al., 2015), the Energy wear law was  
19 applied to a wear algorithm as a user plug-in for ABAQUS. The implementation and the  
20 method are comprehensively explained in a previous technical study (English et al., 2015). In  
21 the current study, this validated algorithm was further developed and used to compare the  
22 wear rates and wear pattern damage at the taper junction of THRs with either micro-grooves  
23 or a smooth trunnion surface finish. The volumetric wear rates and total volume loss were  
24 determined by a separate custom Python script based on the reduction of element volume at  
25 the interaction.

26 Due to the highly refined mesh assigned on the models in this study, the wear scaling factor –  
27 which represents a specific number of loading cycles to scale up the calculated single cyclic  
28 wear depth – was obtained to be 100,000 (see (English et al., 2015)). One million walking  
29 cycles per year patients' activity has been assumed in this study (Schmalzried et al., 1998).

30 In the computational wear model, a fraction of calculated wear depth at each analysis stage  
31 needs to be removed from the taper and trunnion surfaces (Ashkanfar et al., 2017). This

1 “wear fraction” (English et al., 2015), depends on the specific materials being considered.  
2 The wear fraction for a CoCr femoral head and Ti trunnion material combination is mainly  
3 based on the theory of Ti hardening in-vivo (Moharrami et al., 2013). Put simply, at first, the  
4 Ti trunnion is worn by the harder CoCr head. However, over time an increasingly thick Ti  
5 oxide layer builds up. Once it reaches around 150 microns in depth, it has sufficient hardness  
6 at sufficient depth to begin to wear the CoCr alloy. This change in hardness, and thus wear,  
7 has been modelled computationally by varying the wear fraction during the wearing analysis  
8 as shown in Figure 5. While the concept of an apparently softer material wearing a harder  
9 material may seem counterintuitive, under fretting conditions (as would be the case in a  
10 taper-trunnion junction) such an effect has been seen in multiple tribological studies (Elleuch  
11 and Fouvry, 2005; Kayaba and Iwabuchi, 1981; Lemm et al., 2015; Varenberg et al., 2002).  
12 In such cases the differential wear has been attributed to “the formation of oxide debris which  
13 then became trapped in the contact area and embedded in the softer surface; the hard,  
14 embedded particles then abraded the harder” material (Lemm et al., 2015).  
15 Due to the highly refined mesh at the interaction, the time taken for each wear analysis over 7  
16 million walking cycles is around 1450 hours, executed on a 12-core Intel Xeon CPU at 2.6  
17 GHz with 128 GB of RAM.

## 18 **3 Results**

### 19 **3.1 Initial impaction analysis and the effect of plasticity**

20 During the impaction analysis, 4kN impaction load with the load time history as shown in  
21 Figure 3 was applied on the top of the femoral head. The overall aim of machining grooves  
22 onto the stem trunnion stem is said to be that the grooves enhance the fixation at the taper  
23 junction (Munir et al., 2015). However, in this study, the result showed no Equivalent Plastic  
24 Strain (PEEQ, ABAQUS field output) as illustrated in Figure 3. Figure 6 details the contact  
25 pressure distributions along the trunnion surfaces. As expected, the maximum contact  
26 pressure was localised at the distal edge of the models due to the base-locked taper  
27 mismatches. The maximum contact pressures were approximately 196 and 385 MPa for  
28 smooth and micro-grooved trunnion surfaces respectively. These stresses illustrate that no  
29 plastic deformation could possibly occur based on the initial impaction assembly load for  
30 CoCr/Ti material combination.

### 1 **3.2 Wear damage**

2 The evaluation of the wear damage over a 7 million load cycle period is shown in Figure 7,  
3 comparing the smooth femoral head taper surface coupled with micro-grooved (Figure 7a)  
4 and smooth (Figure 7b) trunnions. As expected the wear depth evolves for both cases as the  
5 wear analysis progresses.

6 Accelerated increases in the wear depth can be seen for the femoral head taper which was  
7 coupled with a micro-grooved trunnion after 5 million load cycles where the relative  
8 micromotion at the interface starts increasing rapidly. This increase in the micromotion  
9 continues until the femoral head starts rotating on the femoral stem with a much larger scale  
10 than fretting relative micromotion at 6.8 million load cycles. The maximum wear depth  
11 increases from a value around  $8.57\ \mu\text{m}$  at 5 million load cycles to  $21.44\ \mu\text{m}$  at 7 million load  
12 cycles (see Figure 7a). It should be noted that, as the sophisticated FEA model focussed on  
13 wear at the micro grooves with a resolution of  $0.012\ \text{mm}$ , so when the entire taper  
14 (approximately  $10\text{mm}$  long) is shown as an image, as in Figure 7, areas of red (maximum  
15 wear depth) become difficult to discern on this macro scale. For the femoral head coupled  
16 with a smooth trunnion (Figure 7b), however, the wear depth increases at the distal edge of  
17 the contact interface to approximately  $6.51\ \mu\text{m}$  at 7 million load cycles. The wear damage at  
18 the interface is distributed more uniformly and circumferentially at the femoral head taper  
19 (see Figure 7b). This lower wear depth, compared with the femoral head coupled with micro-  
20 grooved trunnion stem, is mainly due to having more area in contact and subsequently a  
21 larger interface. The larger interface allows better fixation which could maintain the  
22 relatively small amounts of micromotion for a larger number of load cycles.

23 The volumetric wear rate over 7 million load cycles and the total volume loss are compared  
24 for both cases and are shown in Figure 8. For the head coupled with a micro-grooved stem  
25 trunnion, the volumetric wear rate is relatively constant over 4 million load cycles  
26 (approximately  $0.08\ \text{mm}^3/\text{yr}$ ) and then rapidly increases to  $0.12$ ,  $0.24$  and  $0.36\ \text{mm}^3/\text{yr}$  over  
27 years 5, 6 and 7 respectively. This increase in the volumetric wear rate is due to the effect of  
28 loss of fixation which leads to a rapid increase in the relative micromotion and thus the  
29 material loss. The total volume loss is around  $1.03\ \text{mm}^3$  over 7 million load cycles. It can be  
30 seen in Figure 8 that the initial wear rates for the head coupled with a smooth trunnion  
31 surface are slightly higher than the head coupled with a micro-grooved trunnion surface ( $0.19$   
32  $\text{mm}^3/\text{yr}$ ) as a smooth taper-trunnion coupling provides a greater surface contact area.  
33 Although these initial wear rates are higher, the fixation is better maintained over time at the

1 taper junction. As the micromotion is maintained at its lower extent over a longer period of  
2 time the contact stress at the interface reduces slightly and this causes a reduction in the wear  
3 rates gradually over time (to around 0.04 mm<sup>3</sup>/yr at 7 million load cycle). The total volume  
4 loss over 7 million load cycle is 0.76 mm<sup>3</sup>.

### 5 **3.3 Comparison of computational wear analysis with measurement of** 6 **retrieved femoral tapers**

7 Eight retrieved CoCr femoral heads (Articuleze), all 36mm in diameter with 12-14 smooth  
8 female taper surface finish and +5 head offset, and which had been mated with Corail Ti  
9 micro-grooved femoral stem trunnions, were available for inspection. The couplings  
10 produced on average 1.57' taper mismatch at the taper junction (range 0.36' to 3.47'). All  
11 eight prostheses were revised due to adverse reactions to metal debris. Samples had been *in-*  
12 *vivo* for 5.4 years on average (range 2.2 to 7.1 years).

13 A CMM (Legex 322, Mitutoyo) was used to measure the wear depth and volumetric material  
14 loss at these taper surfaces. A customised programme written in the CMM software,  
15 Mitutoyo 'MCOSMOS', was used to measure the surfaces and another customised Matlab  
16 program (The Mathworks, Inc.) was used to plot the wear patterns and calculate the  
17 volumetric wear. This method of taper measurement has been previously validated and  
18 published (Bone et al., 2015; Langton et al., 2012) and further explained in (Ashkanfar et al.,  
19 2017).

20 Figure 9 compares the computational FE wear pattern damage obtained in this study with  
21 CMM measurements of wear damage at the retrieved femoral taper surfaces. The FE wear  
22 model could be analysed at specific points (i.e. 2.5 million cycles) to allow a direct  
23 comparison with a specific hip implant's time *in vivo* (i.e. 2.5 years). It can be seen in Figure  
24 9 that the wear depths obtained computationally compare favourably with the CMM  
25 measurements for explants retrieved at 2.5, 5.8 and 7.0 years. Once again, note that due to the  
26 fine mesh size (0.012mm) of the FEA model, and the localised material removal from  
27 microgrooves, once the image is scaled up to show an entire taper, so areas of red (maximum  
28 wear depth) become difficult to discern on the FEA image. The average volumetric wear rate  
29 for the hip explants obtained from the CMM was 0.28 mm<sup>3</sup>/yr, (range 0.04 to 1.67 mm<sup>3</sup>/yr),  
30 while that from the FEA model was 0.26 mm<sup>3</sup>/million cycles (figure 8). The close similarities  
31 shown between the numerical analysis and measured wear damage of retrieved prostheses



1 demonstrates the effectiveness of the 3D FE wear model, the chosen loading and boundary  
2 conditions, and the wear algorithm; therefore, the computational analysis is validated.

### 3 **4 Discussion**

4 We have shown that the taper and trunnion surface finish influence the fixation and the  
5 amount of material lost at the taper junction of THRs. Kop et al. (2012) claimed that hip  
6 implants with micro-grooved trunnions showed higher fretting at the taper junction.

7 Panagiotidou et al. (2013) observed severe damage at taper surfaces when mated with micro-  
8 grooved stem trunnions. Brock et al. (2015) showed a significantly higher wear rate where  
9 femoral heads were mated with rougher trunnions. Hothi et al. (2016) observed clear  
10 imprinting and severe damage of the grooves of the stem trunnion on many smooth CoCr  
11 femoral head taper surfaces.

12 The FE wear model and results presented in this study have identified a clear difference in the  
13 fixation, volumetric wear rates and surface wear damage between CoCr smooth femoral head  
14 tapers implanted with Ti stems with smooth or micro-grooved trunnion surface finishes. In  
15 this study, the wear patterns on both couplings identified much more severe damage at the  
16 inferomedial aspect of the interfaces. As such, the fundamental wear mechanism for both  
17 surface finishes are the same; however, it has been accelerated for the femoral head taper  
18 when mated with a micro-grooved stem trunnion. A comparison between the results over 7  
19 million load cycles *in-silico*, showed that there is around 3.2 times higher linear wear depth  
20 and around 1.4 times higher total volume loss from the femoral head taper surface when  
21 mated with a rough micro-grooved trunnion stem. As the trend of the wear rates illustrates in  
22 Figure 8, these differences would be more pronounced over periods beyond 7 million load  
23 cycles.

24 As discussed, due to the Ti hardening *in-vivo*, which increases its hardness over the CoCr  
25 alloy, the CoCr alloy wears significantly more (Moharrami et al., 2013). In this study, the  
26 algorithm is able to consider the hardening of the Ti during the wearing analysis. As such, the  
27 harder micro-grooved trunnion stem imprints into the femoral surface as shown in Figure 7.  
28 Although this hardening happens for the smooth Ti trunnion stem as well, the surface damage  
29 is less extensive (see Figure 7).

30 Design variations such as taper-trunnion angular mismatches (Ashkanfar et al., 2017) and  
31 surface roughness (as explained in this study) as well as surgical variations such as the initial

1 assembly load (English et al., 2016) can all have important effects on wear particle generation  
2 and thus on the longevity and clinical outcomes of THRs. In a previous study, we showed  
3 that at least 4kN initial impaction assembly is required to fix the components properly and  
4 minimise the wear rates at the taper junction (English et al., 2016). We also showed that a  
5 taper mismatch of less than 6' reduces the wear rates significantly (Ashkanfar et al., 2017). A  
6 3' taper mismatch used in this study was considered to be close enough to the 1.57' average  
7 mismatch (range 0.36' to 3.47') of the retrievals which is based on our previous work  
8 (Ashkanfar et al., 2017). In this study, we used these parameters (4kN assembly force and 3'  
9 taper mismatch) to investigate the effect of the trunnion surface finish on the taper wear rate.  
10 It was shown that surface roughness does affect wear generation in THRs. The rougher  
11 trunnion surface finish showed higher wear rates at the taper junction. This effect would  
12 likely be more significant if lower initial impaction forces were applied and/or a larger taper  
13 mismatch existed at the taper junction.

14 From our earlier study (Ashkanfar et al., 2017) and this study we conclude that increasing  
15 angular taper mismatch and surface roughness could both increase the relative micromotion  
16 at the taper junction. Through fretting wear, this increase in the relative micromotion leads to  
17 an increase in the wear rates. These high wear rates, then, could potentially lead to failure of  
18 the hip, as has been reported in several studies (Brock et al., 2015; Crowninshield et al.,  
19 2004; Esposito et al., 2014; Langton et al., 2012; Langton et al., 2016; Panagiotidou et al.,  
20 2013).

21 A limitation in this study was that we did not have access to any retrieved CoCr femoral  
22 heads mated with a smooth Ti femoral stem trunnion with the same design topography and  
23 morphology as presented in this study, so as to directly compare with our computational wear  
24 model. However, as indicated by other studies (Brock et al., 2015; Kop et al., 2012;  
25 Panagiotidou et al., 2013), wear of tapers fitted on such stems is likely to be lower than when  
26 used with rougher stems, the same result as indicated by our computer model. Another  
27 limitation to this study is related to the use of a fixed friction coefficient during the wear  
28 analysis. We accept that a variation in the friction coefficient could have an effect on the  
29 results. However, the aim of our study was to investigate the influence of roughness.  
30 Moreover, these computer analyses are computationally intensive and takes months to  
31 complete. Studies into the influence of variation in friction will be the subject of future work.  
32 We also appreciate that there will be a range of activity levels across a population of patients  
33 who receive total hip replacements. In our study we have taken one million walking cycles

1 as equivalent to one year of a patients' activity (Schmalzried et al., 1998). We accept that  
2 some patients will have higher activity levels. In such cases, this will simply quicken the rate  
3 of wear compared to our study. It will not affect the overall conclusion, comparing micro-  
4 grooved with smooth surface finishes.

5 A computational wear algorithm for the taper-trunnion junction of THRs has been developed  
6 and was then used to compare the effect of trunnion surface finishes on the wear at the taper  
7 junction of THRs. The computational model showed that, based on the hypothesis of Ti  
8 hardening *in-vivo*, the micro-grooved trunnion imprints into the CoCr head taper surface. The  
9 femoral head taper mated with the micro-grooved trunnion surface finish has a lower surface  
10 contact area and as such the wear rate is initially lower for this coupling but later increases  
11 more rapidly than the taper mated with a smooth trunnion surface finish. The opposite trend  
12 was observed with the smooth coupling design, an initially higher wear rate which gradually  
13 reduced throughout the 7 million cycle analysis. This was due to the fact that the relative  
14 micromotion at the taper junction remained low for a longer period of time due to having a  
15 larger surface area in contact.

16 From the comparison between different stem surface roughness couplings in this study we  
17 can conclude that a better fixation at the taper junction could be achieved if the taper and  
18 trunnion surfaces are manufactured to be as smooth as possible, in conjunction with a low  
19 taper angular mismatch. Providing proper fixation by means of initial impaction assembly  
20 force could also lead to a reduction in the relative micromotion and thus wear debris  
21 generation at this junction, which could subsequently increase the longevity of modular hip  
22 implants. Finally, from this FE wear analysis, we concluded that plastic deformation at  
23 micro-grooves at the trunnion stem surface was unlikely to occur with 4kN impaction  
24 assembly.

## 25 **Conflict of interest**

26 We wish to confirm that there are no known conflicts of interest associated with this  
27 publication and there has been no significant financial support for this work that could have  
28 influenced its outcome.

## 29 **References**

30 Ashkanfar, A., Langton, D.J., Joyce, T.J., 2017. A large taper mismatch is one of the key  
31 factors behind high wear rates and failure at the taper junction of total hip replacements: A  
32 finite element wear analysis. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials*.

1 Bone, M.C., Sidaginamale, R.P., Lord, J.K., Scholes, S.C., Joyce, T.J., Nargol, A.V.,  
2 Langton, D.J., 2015. Determining material loss from the femoral stem trunnion in hip  
3 arthroplasty using a coordinate measuring machine. *Proceedings of the Institution of*  
4 *Mechanical Engineers, Part H: Journal of Engineering in Medicine* 229, 69-76.

5 Brock, T.M., Sidaginamale, R., Rushton, S., Nargol, A.V.F., Bowsher, J.G., Savisaar, C.,  
6 Joyce, T.J., Deehan, D.J., Lord, J.K., Langton, D.J., 2015. Shorter, rough trunnion surfaces  
7 are associated with higher taper wear rates than longer, smooth trunnion surfaces in a  
8 contemporary large head metal- on- metal total hip arthroplasty system. *Journal of*  
9 *Orthopaedic Research* 33, 1868-1874.

10 Crowninshield, R.D., Maloney, W.J., Wentz, D.H., Humphrey, S.M., Blanchard, C.R., 2004.  
11 *Biomechanics of large femoral heads: what they do and don't do. Clinical orthopaedics and*  
12 *related research* 429, 102-107.

13 Delaunay, C., Petit, I., Learmonth, I.D., Oger, P., Vendittoli, P.A., 2010. Metal-on-metal  
14 bearings total hip arthroplasty: the cobalt and chromium ions release concern. *Orthopaedics*  
15 *& Traumatology: Surgery & Research* 96, 894-904.

16 Donaldson, F.E., Coburn, J.C., Siegel, K.L., 2014. Total hip arthroplasty head–neck contact  
17 mechanics: A stochastic investigation of key parameters. *Journal of biomechanics* 47, 1634-  
18 1641.

19 Elleuch, K., Fouvry, S., 2005. Experimental and modelling aspects of abrasive wear of a  
20 A357 aluminium alloy under gross slip fretting conditions. *Wear* 258, 40-49.

21 English, R., Ashkanfar, A., Rothwell, G., 2015. A computational approach to fretting wear  
22 prediction at the head–stem taper junction of total hip replacements. *Wear* 338, 210-220.

23 English, R., Ashkanfar, A., Rothwell, G., 2016. The effect of different assembly loads on  
24 taper junction fretting wear in total hip replacements. *Tribology International* 95, 199-210.

25 Esposito, C.I., Wright, T.M., Goodman, S.B., Berry, D.J., 2014. What is the trouble with  
26 trunnions? *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research®* 472, 3652-3658.

27 Fessler, H., Fricker, D., 1989. Friction in femoral prosthesis and photoelastic model cone  
28 taper joints. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part H: Journal of*  
29 *Engineering in Medicine* 203, 1-14.

30 Hothi, H.S., Berber, R., Whittaker, R.K., Blunn, G.W., Skinner, J.A., Hart, A.J., 2016. The  
31 Relationship Between Cobalt/Chromium Ratios and the High Prevalence of Head-Stem  
32 Junction Corrosion in Metal-on-Metal Total Hip Arthroplasty. *The Journal of arthroplasty* 31,  
33 1123-1127.

34 Kayaba, T., Iwabuchi, A., 1981. The fretting wear of 0.45% C steel and austenitic stainless  
35 steel from 20 to 650 C in air. *Wear* 74, 229-245.

36 Kop, A.M., Keogh, C., Swarts, E., 2012. Proximal component modularity in THA—at what  
37 cost?: an implant retrieval study. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research®* 470, 1885-  
38 1894.

39 Langton, D., Sidaginamale, R., Lord, J., Nargol, A., Joyce, T., 2012. Taper junction failure in  
40 large-diameter metal-on-metal bearings. *Bone and Joint Research* 1, 56-63.

41 Langton, D.J., Hashmi, M., Green, S., O'Brien, S., Duffy, P., Scott, S., Shaw, N., 2016.  
42 Investigation of taper failure in a contemporary metal on metal hip arthroplasty system  
43 through examination of explanted prosthesis. Accepted by the *Journal of Bone & Joint*  
44 *Surgery*.

45 Langton, D.J., Jameson, S.S., Joyce, T.J., Webb, J., Nargol, A.V.F., 2008. The effect of  
46 component size and orientation on the concentrations of metal ions after resurfacing  
47 arthroplasty of the hip. *Bone & Joint Journal* 90, 1143-1151.

48 Lavigne, M., Belzile, E.L., Roy, A., Morin, F., Amzica, T., Vendittoli, P.-A., 2011.  
49 Comparison of whole-blood metal ion levels in four types of metal-on-metal large-diameter

1 femoral head total hip arthroplasty: the potential influence of the adapter sleeve. *J Bone Joint*  
2 *Surg Am* 93, 128-136.

3 Lemm, J., Warmuth, A., Pearson, S., Shipway, P., 2015. The influence of surface hardness on  
4 the fretting wear of steel pairs—Its role in debris retention in the contact. *Tribology*  
5 *International* 81, 258-266.

6 Moharrami, N., Langton, D., Sayginer, O., Bull, S., 2013. Why does titanium alloy wear  
7 cobalt chrome alloy despite lower bulk hardness: A nanoindentation study? *Thin Solid Films*  
8 549, 79-86.

9 Munir, S., Walter, W.L., Walsh, W.R., 2015. Variations in the trunnion surface topography  
10 between different commercially available hip replacement stems. *Journal of Orthopaedic*  
11 *Research* 33, 98-105.

12 Panagiotidou, A., Meswania, J., Hua, J., Muirhead- Allwood, S., Hart, A., Blunn, G., 2013.  
13 Enhanced wear and corrosion in modular tapers in total hip replacement is associated with the  
14 contact area and surface topography. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research* 31, 2032-2039.

15 Schmalzried, T.P., Szuszczewicz, E.S., Northfield, M.R., Akizuki, K.H., Frankel, R.E.,  
16 Belcher, G., Amstutz, H.C., 1998. Quantitative Assessment of Walking Activity after Total  
17 Hip or Knee Replacement\*. *The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery* 80, 54-59.

18 Smith, T.M., Berend, K.R., Lombardi Jr, A.V., Emerson Jr, R.H., Mallory, T.H., 2005.  
19 Metal-on-metal total hip arthroplasty with large heads may prevent early dislocation. *Clinical*  
20 *orthopaedics and related research* 441, 137-142.

21 Varenberg, M., Halperin, G., Etsion, I., 2002. Different aspects of the role of wear debris in  
22 fretting wear. *Wear* 252, 902-910.

23 Zhang, T., Harrison, N., McDonnell, P., McHugh, P., Leen, S., 2013. A finite element  
24 methodology for wear-fatigue analysis for modular hip implants. *Tribology International* 65,  
25 113-127.

26

## 27 **Figures caption**

28 Figure 1: CoCr femoral head and Ti femoral stem of THRs; 36mm femoral head with smooth taper  
29 surface finish mated with femoral stems with (a) micro-grooved trunnion stem and (b) smooth surface  
30 finish.

31 Figure 2: FE model and mesh distribution of the head and stem of THRs; smooth femoral head taper  
32 mated (a) with micro-grooved trunnion stem with 200 $\mu$ m spacing (Munir et al., 2015) and (b) with  
33 smooth trunnion stem; 5°36' head taper angle (in red) and 5°39' stem trunnion angle (in orange)  
34 (Brock et al., 2015) produced 3' base-locked taper mismatches for both models.

35 Figure 3: Equivalent Plastic Strain (PEEQ) showed no plastic deformation at the grooves of the  
36 femoral trunnion stem associated with FE initial impaction Elastic-Perfectly Plastic analysis

37 Figure 4: Schematic presentation of the finite element loading

38 Figure 5: wear fraction between CoCr and Ti material combination over developed wear depths  
39 during the wearing procedure

40 Figure 6: Contact pressure (CPRESS) distribution over the interface of the trunnion stem associated  
41 with the initial assembly impaction (4kN) for (a) micro-grooved and (b) smooth trunnion surfaces

1 Figure 7: Evaluation of the wear pattern on the smooth head taper surfaces mated (a) with a micro-  
2 grooved and (b) with the smooth trunnion surface finish. Note that the specific wear depth (WD)  
3 values on the figure show the maximum wear depth at each stage of the analysis.

4 Figure 8: Volumetric wear rates and total volume loss over and after 7 million load cycles  
5 respectively

6 Figure 9: 36mm CoCr femoral head taper mated with Corail micro-grooved stem trunnion; (a) Finite  
7 Element analysis and (b) CMM wear measurement. Note that, the specific wear depth (WD) values  
8 have been added to help show the similarity in wear results between the FEA and CMM analyses.

9  
10

11 Table 1: Material and contact interaction properties

		Young's Modulus (GPa)	Yield stress (MPa)	Poisson Ratio	Wear coefficient (MPa <sup>-1</sup> )	Friction coefficient
Head	Co-28Cr-6Mo	210	980	0.30		
Stem	Ti-6Al-4V	119	880	0.29	1.31e-8	0.21

12  
13