

Celis-Morales C, Livingstone KM, Affleck A, Navas-Carretero S, Cristobal RS, Martinez JA, Marsaux CFM, Saris WHM, O'Donovan CB, Forster H, Woolhead C, Gibney ER, Walsh MC, Brennan L, Gibney M, Moschonis G, Lambrinou CP, Mavrogianni C, Manios Y, Macready AL, Fallaize R, Lovegrove JA, Kolossa S, Daniel H, Traczyk I, Drewnon CA, Mathers JC, on behalf of the Food4Me Study.

[Correlates of overall and central obesity in adults from seven European countries: Findings from the Food4Me Study.](#)

European Journal of Clinical Nutrition (2017)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41430-017-0004-y>

Copyright:

This is the authors' accepted manuscript of an article that has been published in its final definitive form by Nature Publishing Group, 2017.

Date deposited:

01/12/2017

Embargo release date:

15 June 2018

1 **Correlates of overall and central obesity in adults from seven European countries: Findings from the Food4Me**
2 **Study**

3 **Running title:** Correlates of obesity

4 **Authors**

5 Carlos Celis-Morales^{1,2*}, Katherine M Livingstone^{1*}, Alexander Affleck², Santiago Navas-Carretero³, Rodrigo San-
6 Cristobal³, J Alfredo Martinez³, Cyril F M Marsaux⁴, Wim H M Saris⁴, Clare B O'Donovan⁵, Hannah Forster⁵, Clara
7 Woolhead⁵, Eileen R Gibney⁵, Marianne C Walsh⁵, Lorraine Brennan⁵, Mike Gibney⁵, George Moschonis⁶, Christina-
8 Paulina Lambrinou⁶, Christina Mavrogianni⁶, Yannis Manios⁶, Anna L Macready⁷, Rosalind Fallaize⁷, Julie A
9 Lovegrove⁷, Silvia Kolossa⁸, Hannelore Daniel⁸, Iwona Traczyk⁹, Christian A Drevon¹⁰, John C Mathers¹, on behalf of
10 the Food4Me Study.

11

12 **Author affiliations**

13 ¹ Human Nutrition Research Centre, Institute of Cellular Medicine, Newcastle University, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK

14 ² BHF Glasgow Cardiovascular Research Centre, Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, University of
15 Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8TA, United Kingdom.

16 ³ Department of Nutrition, Food Science and Physiology, University of Navarra, Pamplona, Spain.

17 ⁴Department of Human Biology, NUTRIM, School for Nutrition and Translational Research in Metabolism, Maastricht
18 University Medical Centre, Maastricht, The Netherlands

19 ⁵ UCD Institute of Food and Health, UCD School of Agriculture and Food Science, University College Dublin, Belfield,
20 Dublin 4, Republic of Ireland

21 ⁶ Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Harokopio University, Athens, Greece.

22 ⁷ Hugh Sinclair Unit of Human Nutrition and Institute for Cardiovascular and Metabolic Research, University of
23 Reading, Reading, UK.

24 ⁸ ZIEL Research Center of Nutrition and Food Sciences, Biochemistry Unit, Technische Universität München,
25 Germany.

26 ⁹ Human Nutrition Department, Faculty of Health Sciences, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland.

27 ¹⁰ Department of Nutrition, Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.

28 * These authors contributed equally to this work

29

30 **Corresponding author**

31 Professor John C. Mathers

32 Human Nutrition Research Centre

33 Institute of Cellular Medicine

34 Newcastle University

35 Campus for Ageing and Vitality

36 Newcastle upon Tyne

37 NE4 5PL

38 UK

39 john.mathers@newcastle.ac.uk

40 Tel: +44 (0) 1912081133

41 Fax: +44 (0) 1912081101

42 **Background/Objectives:** To identify predictors of obesity in adults and investigate to what extent these predictors
43 are independent of other major confounding factors.

44 **Subjects/Methods:** Data collected at baseline from 1,441 participants from the Food4Me study conducted in seven
45 European countries were included in this study. A food frequency questionnaire was used to measure dietary intake;
46 Accelerometers were used to assess physical activity levels (PA), whereas participants self-reported their body
47 weight, height and waist circumference via the internet.

48 **Results:** The main factors associated ($p < 0.05$) with higher BMI per 1-SD increase in the exposure were age ($\beta: 1.11$
49 kg/m^2), and intakes of processed meat ($\beta: 1.04 \text{ kg/m}^2$), red meat ($\beta: 1.02 \text{ kg/m}^2$), saturated fat ($\beta: 0.84 \text{ kg/m}^2$),
50 monounsaturated fat ($\beta: 0.80 \text{ kg/m}^2$), protein ($\beta: 0.74 \text{ kg/m}^2$), total energy intake ($\beta: 0.50 \text{ kg/m}^2$), olive oil ($\beta: 0.36$
51 kg/m^2), sugar sweetened carbonated drinks ($\beta: 0.33 \text{ kg/m}^2$) and sedentary time ($\beta: 0.73 \text{ kg/m}^2$). In contrast, the main
52 factors associated with lower BMI per 1-SD increase in the exposure were PA ($\beta: -1.36 \text{ kg/m}^2$), and intakes of
53 wholegrains ($\beta: -1.05 \text{ kg/m}^2$), fibre ($\beta: -1.02 \text{ kg/m}^2$), fruits and vegetables ($\beta: -0.52 \text{ kg/m}^2$), nuts ($\beta: -0.52 \text{ kg/m}^2$),
54 polyunsaturated fat ($\beta: -0.50 \text{ kg/m}^2$), Healthy Eating Index ($\beta: -0.42 \text{ kg/m}^2$), Mediterranean diet score ($\beta: -0.40 \text{ kg/m}^2$),
55 oily fish ($\beta: -0.31 \text{ kg/m}^2$), dairy ($\beta: -0.31 \text{ kg/m}^2$) and fruit juice ($\beta: -0.25 \text{ kg/m}^2$).

56 **Conclusions:** These findings are important for public health and suggest that, promotion of increased PA, reduced
57 sedentary behaviours and improving the overall quality of dietary patterns are important strategies for addressing
58 the existing obesity epidemic and associated disease burden.

59 **Key Words** – Obesity; physical activity, diet, healthy eating index, Mediterranean diet.

60 **Trial registration** – Clinicaltrials.gov NCT01530139

61 INTRODUCTION

62 Excess adiposity, represented by high body-mass index (BMI) and waist circumference (WC), is a known risk factor
63 for cardiovascular diseases, some cancers, and premature mortality.¹ A recent study conducted in more than 19.2
64 million adult worldwide provided evidence that obesity is a growing pandemic, with the prevalence of obesity having
65 increased from 3.2% in 1975 to 10.8% in 2014 in men, and from 6.4% to 14.9% in women.² Concerns regarding the
66 health and economic burden of growing obesity rates have led to adiposity being included among the global non-
67 communicable disease (NCD) goals.²

68 Changes in lifestyle related to energy balance, including insufficient levels of physical activity (PA) and higher energy
69 intake have been proposed as the main driving force behind the rise in obesity over recent decades.^{3,4} The processes
70 of modernization, urbanization and globalisation of eating behaviours have affected dietary intake and PA patterns
71 and have subsequently contributed to the development of obesity.⁵ Thus, a detailed understanding of the
72 behavioural factors associated with obesity is essential for the design and implementation of effective public health
73 interventions aimed to prevent or manage obesity.

74 The present study uses baseline data from the Food4Me study, a pan-European randomized controlled trial,
75 designed to investigate the effect of personalized nutrition (PN) advice on changes in diet and PA after a 6-month
76 intervention.^{6,7} Our study aims to identify predictors of obesity in European adults and to investigate to what extent
77 these predictors are independent of other major confounding factors.

78

79 MATERIALS AND METHODS

80 Study population

81 The Food4Me Proof of Principle (PoP) study was a 6-month, internet-based, randomised controlled trial (RCT)
82 conducted across seven countries in Europe (www.food4me.org).^{6,7} Out of 5,662 individuals who were interest in
83 this trial (mean age 40 (SD: 12.7); range 15-87 years) between August 2012 and August 2013, the first 1,441
84 volunteers meeting the inclusion criteria and with available data for the analysis were included in the present study.
85 Participants were recruited from the following recruitment sites: Maastricht University (The Netherlands), University
86 College Dublin (Ireland), University of Navarra (Spain), University of Reading (United Kingdom, UK), Harokopio

87 University (Greece), Technical University of Munich (Germany) and National Food and Nutrition Institute (Poland),
88 were included in the study. Participants aged <18 years, pregnant or lactating, with limited access to the Internet,
89 follow a prescribed diet for any reason were excluded from the study. The Research Ethics Committees at each
90 Research Centre granted ethics approval for the study.

91

92 **Study measures**

93 Participants agreed to self-report their measures via the internet. To ensure that procedures were comparable in all
94 recruiting centres, standardised operating procedures were arranged for all measurements.^{6,7}

95 An online screening questionnaire collected detailed self-reported (SR) information on socio-demographic, dietary,
96 food choices, anthropometric and health-related data. At baseline, anthropometric measures were self-measured
97 and self-reported via the internet. Participants were instructed to measure body weight after an overnight fast,
98 barefoot and wearing light clothing using a commercial or home scale, and to measure height using a measuring
99 tape provided by Food4Me study.^{6,7} Waist circumference (WC) was measured at the mid-point between the lower
100 rib and the iliac crest. Central obesity was set as WC >102 cm and >88 cm for men and women, respectively. BMI
101 was calculated from body weight divided by height square. The WHO criteria for BMI was used to define nutritional
102 status (underweight <18.5, normal weight ≥ 18.5 to ≤ 24.9 , overweight ≥ 25.0 to ≤ 29.9 and obese ≥ 30.0 kg/m²).⁸ These
103 self-reported measurements were repeated in a random sub-sample of 140 participants who were invited to
104 participate in a validation study, these results are described in supplementary methods and elsewhere.⁹

105 Occupational activities were grouped according to the European classifications a) professional and managerial, b)
106 intermediate, c) routine and manual, d) service and sales workers, e) elementary occupations, f) students and g)
107 retired.¹⁰

108 Objective physical activity levels (PAL= total energy expenditure / basal metabolic rate) and time spent in sedentary-
109 related behaviours (min/day) were assessed using triaxial accelerometers (TracmorD, Philips Consumer Lifestyle, The
110 Netherlands). Participants were instructed to wear the accelerometer every day during waking hours, apart from
111 when taking a shower, for the entire duration of the study. Intensities of PA were derived using thresholds for
112 activity energy expenditure for sedentary behaviours (corresponding to <1.5 METs), light (1.5 to <3 METs), moderate
113 (3 to <6 METs), vigorous (≥ 6 METs) or moderate-equivalent intensity PA.¹¹ Moderate-equivalent PA was derived
114 using the equation [moderate PA + (vigorous PA x 2)]. Individuals who accumulated ≥ 150 minutes of moderate-

115 equivalent PA a week were classified as physically active.¹¹ Additional information on physical activity measure is
116 provided in supplementary material.

117 At baseline, participants completed an online Food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) to estimate usual dietary intake
118 during the last month. This FFQ, was developed for the Food4Me Study^{12,13} and included 157 food items consumed
119 frequently in each of the seven recruitment countries. The reproducibility and validity of the FFQ was assessed and
120 these details are reported in our Supplementary Methods and elsewhere.^{14,15} Intakes of foods and nutrients were
121 computed in real time using a food composition database based on McCance & Widdowson's "The composition of
122 foods".¹⁶ Intakes were assessed using a standardized set of recommendations¹⁷ for foods and food groups including
123 fruit and vegetable, wholegrain products, dairy products, oily fish, red meat, processed meat, fats and spreads, fruit
124 juice, sugar sweetened carbonated drinks, sweets and pastries, nut, vegetable oil and olive oil.¹⁷

125 Furthermore, two healthy eating scores were derived to measure the overall diet quality. The first one, adherence to
126 the Mediterranean diet (MD) was estimated based on the PREDIMED 14-point criteria¹⁸ (Supplemental Table 1).

127 FFQs at baseline were used to derive each of the following criteria: higher intake of olive oil than other culinary fat,
128 higher intake of white meat than red meat, high intake of fruit (including natural fruit juice), vegetables, olive oil,
129 legumes, nuts, fish, wine and tomato-based sauces and limited intakes of red and processed meats, fats and
130 spreads, soft drinks and commercial bakery goods, sweets and pastries.¹⁸ Participants scored 1 point for each of the
131 14 criterion they met and 0 for each they did not meet; points were summed to create an overall MD score, ranging
132 from 0-14.¹⁸

133 The second diet quality score was derived using the Healthy Eating Index (HEI), which was updated and validated to
134 reflect the 2010 Dietary Guidelines for Americans and the accompanying USDA Food Patterns.¹⁹ The HEI-2010
135 includes 12 food groups, 9 of which assessed adequacy of the diet, including 1) total fruit; 2) whole fruit; 3) total
136 vegetables; 4) greens and beans; 5) whole grains; 6) dairy; 7) total protein foods; 8) seafood and plant proteins; and
137 9) fatty acids. The remaining three factors, refined grains, sodium, and empty calories (i.e., energy from solid fats,
138 alcohol, and added sugars), assess dietary components that should be consumed in moderation. Higher scores
139 reflected better diet quality. Scores for each of the 12 items are summed to produce a total score with a maximum
140 value of 100.¹⁹

141 **Statistical analysis**

142 Multivariate Linear Regression analyses were performed to test for associations between the outcomes (BMI and
143 WC) and the exposures of interest, including age, physical activity and dietary intake. For comparison purposes, all
144 continuous exposures were standardised and presented as standard deviation (SD) units. The odds for overweight
145 and obesity (BMI ≥ 25.0 kg/m²) and central obesity (WC >88 and >102 cm for women and men, respectively) were
146 estimated by socio-demographics, PA and dietary intake variables. Tertiles for each of these continuous variables
147 were derived using the standardised variables.

148 Analyses were adjusted incrementally. Model 1 was adjusted for age, sex, country and occupation, whereas Model 2
149 was additionally adjusted for total time spent in sedentary behaviours and total PA for dietary outcomes, and
150 monitor wearing time and total energy intake for physical activity outcomes. Total energy intake was included in
151 model 2 for PA exposures to elucidate whether the association between PA and our outcomes of interest goes
152 beyond an effect of total energy intake. Data were analysed using Stata (version 14; StataCorp. TX, USA). Results
153 were deemed significant at *P-value* <0.05.

154

155 **RESULTS**

156 **Cohort characteristics**

157 Of the 1,607 participants randomised into the Food4Me trial, data at baseline on BMI were available for 1,441
158 participants (58% were women and 97% were Caucasian), characteristics of the drop outs have been described
159 elsewhere²⁰. As summarised in Table 1, the mean age was 40.1 years (range: 18 to 79), 30% of individuals were
160 overweight and 16% were obese. Although 47% of participants were classified as physically active, 28% of
161 participants recorded less than 1 minute of vigorous intensity PA daily. Dietary intakes of nutrients and food groups
162 and diet quality scores by BMI and WC categories are described in Tables 1 and Table S2.

163

164 **Association of BMI and WC with socio-demographic, dietary and physical activity factors**

165 As presented in Table 2, the main factors associated with higher BMI per 1-SD increase in the exposure or
166 independent variable were age (β :1.11 kg/m²), and intakes of processed meat (β :1.04 kg/m²), red meat (β :1.02
167 kg/m²), saturated fat (β :0.84 kg/m²), monounsaturated fat (β :0.80 kg/m²), protein (β :0.74 kg/m²), total energy
168 (β :0.50 kg/m²), olive oil (β :0.36 kg/m²), sugar sweetened carbonated drinks (β :0.33 kg/m²) and time spent sedentary

169 (β :0.73 kg/m²). In addition, total sugars intake was negatively associated with WC (β :-1.03 kg/m² per 1-SD increase in
170 total sugars intake).

171

172 The main factors associated with BMI per 1-SD increase in the exposure were moderate-equivalent PA (β :-1.36
173 kg/m²), light PA (β :-0.77 kg/m²), and intakes of wholegrains (β :-1.05 kg/m²), fibre (β :-1.02 kg/m²), fruits and
174 vegetables (β :-0.52 kg/m²), nuts (β :-0.52 kg/m²), polyunsaturated fat (β :-0.50 kg/m²), HEI (β :-0.42 kg/m²), MD score
175 (β :-0.40 kg/m²), oily fish (β :-0.31 kg/m²), dairy products (β :-0.31 kg/m²) and fruit juice (β :-0.25 kg/m²). As
176 summarised in Table 2, these associations were independent of sex, occupation and country (Model 1), as well as
177 total PA, sedentary behaviours, total energy intake and total accelerometer wear time. Similar results were found
178 for WC (Table 3), although the magnitudes of the associations per 1-SD increase in the exposure were stronger than
179 for BMI (Table 2).

180

181 **Correlates of overall and central obesity**

182 Figure 1 describes the odds ratio of being overweight or obese (BMI \geq 25.0 kg/m²) and centrally obese (WC >88 cm
183 for females and >102 cm for males). Participants in the highest tertile for moderate-equivalent PA (highly active)
184 were 80% less likely to have a BMI \geq 25.0 kg/m² compared with those in the lowest tertile (less active). Similarly,
185 younger participants were 71% less likely to have BMI \geq 25.0 kg/m² than older participants (higher tertile). Those
186 participants who were female, students, from Germany and the Netherlands, and those in the lowest tertile for
187 sedentary behaviour, light intensity PA or total PA, were less likely to be overweight or obese compared with their
188 reference group (Figure 1). Similar results, but with stronger effect sizes, were observed when central obesity was
189 used as the outcome (Figure 1).

190

191 When nutrients intake were used as main exposures (Figure 2), participants in the lowest tertile for
192 monounsaturated, saturated and total fats, salt and total energy intake were less likely to have a BMI \geq 25.0 kg/m²
193 than their counterparts in the higher tertile. In contrast, individuals in the lowest tertile for polyunsaturated fat and
194 vegetable oil intake were more likely to have a BMI \geq 25.0 kg/m² compared with participants in the highest tertile
195 (Figure 2 and Table S3). Similarly, central obesity was less likely among those with lowest intakes of protein,
196 carbohydrates, monounsaturated fat, and salt. However, individuals in the lower tertile for sugar intake were more

197 likely to have central obesity (Figure 2). The odds of having BMI ≥ 25.0 kg/m² or central obesity by food group are
198 presented in Figure 3.

199

200 **Discussion**

201 Our main findings are the associations between intakes of nutrients and of healthy and unhealthy foods as well as
202 healthy eating score with markers of overall and central obesity in adults from seven European countries. Our study
203 found that the strongest positive correlates with adiposity were age and reported intakes of processed meat, red
204 meat or saturated fat (effect size ranging from 1.11 to 0.84 kg/m² per 1-SD increase in the exposure), whereas the
205 strongest negative correlates of adiposity were moderate-equivalent PA, and reported intakes of wholegrain or
206 dietary fibre intake, with effect sizes ranging from -1.36 to -1.02 kg/m² change in BMI and -3.76 to -0.75 cm change
207 in WC per 1-SD increase in these exposures. These observations may have important implications for the design of
208 future studies aiming to reduce body weight or related adiposity outcomes, by focussing on key lifestyle behaviours
209 that are associated with obesity.

210 Our findings corroborate, and provide new evidence, for associations between PA and obesity outcomes. A recent
211 systematic review that have investigated the association between weight gain with physical activity have reported
212 that physical activity levels that increase the total energy expenditure to > 1.7–1.8 times the basal metabolic rate are
213 needed to decrease obesity risk.^{21,22} This is in agreement with our finding where the magnitude of the effect of PA
214 on obesity outcomes, especially for WC, was greater with higher intensity levels of physical activity (1 SD increase in
215 light, moderate and vigorous intensity PA was associated with -1.70, -1.96 and -2.63 cm lower WC, respectively). In
216 addition, our results confirm previous findings that time spent in sedentary behaviours is associated with increased
217 body weight and risk of obesity, independent of PA levels.²³

218 Although unhealthy dietary patterns have been associated with obesity, there is inconsistency in the evidence about
219 the role of specific food groups.²⁴ Our study found that reported intakes of processed and red meat were associated
220 with increased adiposity and obesity risk, independent of other confounding factors including total energy intake,
221 total sugars, sedentary behaviour and physical activity. This is in agreement with previous studies showing that both
222 red meat and processed meat, which have been linked to higher intake of total fat, saturated fat and energy dense
223 food and lower intake of healthy foods such as vegetables, are positively associated with increased risk of obesity.²⁵

224 Our findings shows a strong association between fat intake (total, mono and saturated fats) and obesity risk, which
225 is in agreement with a recent review on the effect of reduced fat intake on body weight, which include data from 32
226 trials (approximately 54,000 participants) and from 25 cohort studies.²⁶ This study reported that eating less fat (diet
227 containing <30% of TE from fat compared with usual diet) reduced mean BMI by -0.5 kg (95% CI: -0.74 to -0.26
228 kg/m²), with greater weight loss resulting from greater fat reductions.²⁶ These finding may have important clinical
229 implications since reduced saturated fat intake is associated with a 17% reduction in the risk of cardiovascular
230 disease.²⁷ Our results are also in agreement with previous studies showing negative associations between intakes of
231 dietary fibre,²⁸ wholegrains²⁹ and fruits and vegetable³⁰ with obesity.

232 Interestingly, total sugar intake was inversely associated with BMI and WC independent of intakes of total energy
233 and of other macronutrients including total fat, as has been reported in the UK Biobank study.³¹ However, intakes of
234 sugar sweetened carbonated drinks were positively associated with BMI, WC and obesity risk. There was a similar
235 positive association between intakes of sweets and pastries and obesity risk. This is in agreement with a systematic
236 review which found that sugar sweetened carbonated drinks and sweets snacking were associated with increased
237 obesity risk.³² The inverse association between sugar intake and obesity may seem counter-intuitive; however, this
238 association may be explained by self-reporting bias, with previous studies suggesting that it may be easier for
239 individuals to report intake of food items (i.e. fizzy drinks, chocolate, etc.) than total sugar intake.³³ The UK's
240 Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition concluded that there is a lack of high quality scientific evidence to draw
241 conclusions on the impact of sugars intake on body weight in adults.³⁴

242 Although we identified associations between adiposity outcomes and intakes of individual macronutrients or food
243 groups, dietary behaviours may be better captured by using an overall estimation of dietary quality, as dietary
244 patterns correlate more strongly with adiposity and the risk of obesity.^{35, 36} Our results agree with previous
245 prospective studies which have reported inverse associations between obesity and overall MD scores.^{35, 37, 38} Such
246 inverse associations have also been reported in most,³⁹⁻⁴³ but not all,⁴⁴ cross-sectional studies. However, our study
247 have found that olive oil consumption, an essential component of the Mediterranean diet, was associated with
248 higher adiposity levels whereas a lower vegetable oil intake was associated a lower risk for obesity. The HEI has been
249 inversely associated with the likelihood of being overweight or obesity.⁴⁵

250

251 **Strengths and limitations**

252 We have collected data from adults aged 18-79 years resident in seven European countries. Just 44.8% of
253 participants had a BMI >25 kg/m² which is broadly similar to that of European adults.⁴⁶ However, our recruitment
254 strategy was not designed specifically to yield a sample that is necessarily representative of the European adult
255 population,⁴⁷ and so that findings with respect to the European population as a whole should be interpreted
256 cautiously. Physical activity data were collected objectively using a triaxial accelerometer which is likely to provide a
257 better estimation of the true relationship with obesity than use of self-report instruments. A potential limitation of
258 the study is that the majority of our data were collected by self-report via the internet, which could have introduced
259 recall bias and measurement error.⁴⁸ Although, the precision self-reported anthropometric data collected via the
260 internet is high⁴⁹ we cannot rule out a potential dilution bias due under-reporting of BMI, especially by those who
261 were obese. The current study used cross-sectional data, which cannot provide evidence of causal relationships
262 between dietary patterns or other behavioural factors and obesity outcomes.

263

264 In conclusion, healthy diet scores such as MD and HEI as well as food groups, nutrients and physical activity related
265 behaviours were robustly associated with BMI and WC in adults from seven European countries. Our results show
266 that higher levels of PA and higher diet quality attenuate, while more time spent in sedentary behaviours and higher
267 consumption of processed meat, red meat and fats accentuate associations with BMI and WC. These findings are
268 relevant for public health and suggest that promotion of increased PA, reduced sedentary behaviours and improved
269 overall quality of dietary patterns is a key strategy for addressing the existing obesity epidemic and associated
270 disease burden.

271 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

272 The Food4me randomized controlled trial was funded by the European Commission under the Food, Agriculture,
273 Fisheries and Biotechnology Theme of the 7th Framework Programme for Research and Technological Development
274 [265494].

275

276 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

277 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

278

279 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION**

280 Author responsibilities were as follows: CCM, KML, AA, JCM performed the statistical analysis and wrote the
281 manuscript. YM, IT, CAD, ERG, LB, JAL, JAM, WHS, HD, MG and JCM contributed to the research design. JCM was the
282 Food4Me Proof of Principle study leader. CCM, CFMM, HF, CBO, CW, ALM, RF, SNC, RSC, CPL, MG, MCW, ERG, LB
283 and JCM contributed to the developing the Standardized Operating Procedures for the study. CCM, SNC, RSC, CW,
284 CBO, HF, CFMM, AM, RF, CPL, MG, IT, MCW and JCM conducted the intervention. CCM, CFMM and WHS contributed
285 to physical activity measurements.

286

287

288 REFERENCES

- 289 1. Health Effects of Overweight and Obesity in 195 Countries over 25 Years. *New England Journal of*
290 *Medicine* 2017; **377**(1): 13-27. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1614362
- 291
- 292 2. Collaboration NRF. Trends in adult body-mass index in 200 countries from 1975 to 2014: a pooled
293 analysis of 1698 population-based measurement studies with 19.2 million participants. *The Lancet*
294 2016; **387**(10026): 1377-1396. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30054-X
- 295
- 296 3. Hill JO. Understanding and addressing the epidemic of obesity: An energy balance perspective.
297 *Endocrine Reviews* 2006; **27**(7): 750-761. doi: 10.1210/er.2006-0032
- 298
- 299 4. Bouchard C. Gene-Environment Interactions in the Etiology of Obesity: Defining the
300 Fundamentals. *Obesity* 2008; **16**: S5-S10. doi: 10.1038/oby.2008.528
- 301
- 302 5. WHO. *Global health risks: mortality and burden of disease attributable to selected major risks*.
303 World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2009.
- 304
- 305 6. Celis-Morales C, Livingstone KM, Marsaux CFM, Forster H, O'Donovan CB, Woolhead C *et al*.
306 Design and baseline characteristics of the Food4Me study: a web-based randomised controlled
307 trial of personalised nutrition in seven European countries. *Genes and Nutrition* 2015; **10**(1). doi:
308 10.1007/s12263-014-0450-2
- 309
- 310 7. Celis-Morales C, Livingstone KM, Marsaux CFM, Macready AL, Fallaize R, O'Donovan CB *et al*.
311 Effect of personalized nutrition on health-related behaviour change: evidence from the Food4me
312 European randomized controlled trial. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2016. doi:
313 10.1093/ije/dyw186
- 314
- 315 8. WHO. *Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO consultation,*
316 2000. Report no.: 0512-3054.
- 317
- 318 9. Celis-Morales C, Livingstone KM, Woolhead C, Forster H, O'Donovan CB, Macready AL *et al*. How
319 reliable is internet-based self-reported identity, socio-demographic and obesity measures in
320 European adults? *Genes & nutrition* 2015; **10**(5): 476-476. doi: 10.1007/s12263-015-0476-0
- 321
- 322 10. European Commission. European skills, competences, qualifications and occupations. In, 2015.
- 323
- 324 11. Marsaux CFM, Celis-Morales C, Hoonhout J, Claassen A, Goris A, Forster H *et al*. Objectively
325 Measured Physical Activity in European Adults: Cross-Sectional Findings from the Food4Me Study.
326 *Plos One* 2016; **11**(3). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0150902

327

- 328 12. Forster H FR, Gallagher C, O'Donovan CB, Woolhead C, Walsh MC, Macready AL, Lovegrove JA,
329 Mathers JC, Gibney MJ, Brennan L, Gibney ER. Online Dietary Intake Estimation: The Food4Me
330 Food Frequency Questionnaire. *J. Med. Internet Res.* 2014; **16**(6): e150.
- 331
- 332 13. Fallaize R, Forster H, Macready AL, Walsh MC, Mathers JC, Brennan L *et al.* Online Dietary Intake
333 Estimation: Reproducibility and Validity of the Food4Me Food Frequency Questionnaire Against a
334 4-Day Weighed Food Record. *J. Med. Internet Res.* 2014; **16**(8): e190. doi: 10.2196/jmir.3355
- 335
- 336 14. Fallaize R, Forster H, Macready AL, Walsh MC, Mathers JC, Brennan L *et al.* Online Dietary Intake
337 Estimation: Reproducibility and Validity of the Food4Me Food Frequency Questionnaire Against a
338 4-Day Weighed Food Record. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 2014; **16**(8). doi:
339 10.2196/jmir.3355
- 340
- 341 15. Forster H, Fallaize R, Gallagher C, O'Donovan CB, Woolhead C, Walsh MC *et al.* Online dietary
342 intake estimation: the Food4Me food frequency questionnaire. *Journal of Medical Internet*
343 *Research* 2014; **16**(6): e150-e150. doi: 10.2196/jmir.3105
- 344
- 345 16. Food Standards Agency. *McCance and Widdowson's The Composition of Foods*, Sixth summary
346 edition edn Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, 2002.
- 347
- 348 17. Celis-Morales C, Livingstone KM, Marsaux CFM, Forster H, O'Donovan CB, Woolhead C *et al.*
349 Design and baseline characteristics of the Food4Me study: a web-based randomised controlled
350 trial of personalised nutrition in seven European countries. *Genes Nutr* 2015; **10**(1): 450. doi:
351 10.1007/s12263-014-0450-2
- 352
- 353 18. Martínez-González MÁ, Corella D, Salas-Salvadó J, Ros E, Covas MI, Fiol M *et al.* Cohort Profile:
354 Design and methods of the PREDIMED study. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 2012; **41**(2): 377-385. doi:
355 10.1093/ije/dyq250
- 356
- 357 19. Guenther PM, Kirkpatrick SI, Reedy J, Krebs-Smith SM, Buckman DW, Dodd KW *et al.* The Healthy
358 Eating Index-2010 Is a Valid and Reliable Measure of Diet Quality According to the 2010 Dietary
359 Guidelines for Americans. *Journal of Nutrition* 2014; **144**(3): 399-407. doi: 10.3945/jn.113.183079
- 360
- 361 20. Livingstone KM, Celis-Morales C, Macready AL, Fallaize R, Forster H, Woolhead C *et al.*
362 Characteristics of European adults who dropped out from the Food4Me Internet-based
363 personalised nutrition intervention. *Public Health Nutrition* 2016: 1-11. doi:
364 10.1017/S1368980016002020
- 365
- 366 21. Saris WHM, Blair SN, van Baak MA, Eaton SB, Davies PSW, Di Pietro L *et al.* How much physical
367 activity is enough to prevent unhealthy weight gain? Outcome of the IASO 1st Stock Conference
368 and consensus statement. *Obesity reviews : an official journal of the International Association for*
369 *the Study of Obesity* 2003; **4**(2): 101-114. doi: 10.1046/j.1467-789X.2003.00101.x
- 370

- 371 22. Erlichman J, Kerbey AL, James WPT. Physical activity and its impact on health outcomes. Paper 2:
372 Prevention of unhealthy weight gain and obesity by physical activity: an analysis of the evidence.
373 *Obesity reviews : an official journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity* 2002;
374 **3**(4): 273-287. doi: 10.1046/j.1467-789X.2002.00078.x
- 375
- 376 23. Thorp AA, Owen N, Neuhaus M, Dunstan DW. Sedentary Behaviors and Subsequent Health
377 Outcomes in Adults A Systematic Review of Longitudinal Studies, 1996-2011. *American Journal of*
378 *Preventive Medicine* 2011; **41**(2): 207-215. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2011.05.004
- 379
- 380 24. Togo P, Osler M, Sorensen T, Heitmann B. Food intake patterns and body mass index in
381 observational studies. *International Journal of Obesity* 2001; **25**(12): 1741-1751. doi:
382 10.1038/sj.ijo.0801819
- 383
- 384 25. Rouhani MH, Salehi-Abargouei A, Surkan PJ, Azadbakht L. Is there a relationship between red or
385 processed meat intake and obesity? A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational
386 studies. *Obesity Reviews* 2014; **15**(9): 740-748. doi: 10.1111/obr.12172
- 387
- 388 26. Hooper L, Abdelhamid A, Bunn D, Brown T, Summerbell CD, Skeaff CM. Effects of total fat intake
389 on body weight. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2015; (8). doi:
390 10.1002/14651858.CD011834
- 391
- 392 27. Hooper L, Martin N, Abdelhamid A, Davey Smith G. Reduction in saturated fat intake for
393 cardiovascular disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2015; (6). doi:
394 10.1002/14651858.CD011737
- 395
- 396 28. Ludwig DS, Pereira MA, Kroenke CH, Hilner JE, Van Horn L, Slattery ML *et al.* Dietary fiber, weight
397 gain, and cardiovascular disease risk factors in young adults. *Jama-Journal of the American*
398 *Medical Association* 1999; **282**(16): 1539-1546. doi: 10.1001/jama.282.16.1539
- 399
- 400 29. McKeown NM, Yoshida M, Shea MK, Jacques PF, Lichtenstein AH, Rogers G *et al.* Whole-Grain
401 Intake and Cereal Fiber Are Associated with Lower Abdominal Adiposity in Older Adults. *Journal of*
402 *Nutrition* 2009; **139**(10): 1950-1955. doi: 10.3945/jn.108.103762
- 403
- 404 30. Schwingshackl L, Hoffmann G, Kalle-Uhlmann T, Arregui M, Buijsse B, Boeing H. Fruit and
405 Vegetable Consumption and Changes in Anthropometric Variables in Adult Populations: A
406 Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. *Plos One* 2015; **10**(10). doi:
407 10.1371/journal.pone.0140846
- 408
- 409 31. Anderson J, Celis-Morales C, Mackay D, Iliodromiti S, Lyall D, Sattar N *et al.* Adiposity among
410 132 479 UK Biobank participants; contribution of sugar intake vs other macronutrients. *Int J*
411 *Epidemiol* 2016. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyw173
- 412

- 413 32. Malik VS, Pan A, Willett WC, Hu FB. Sugar-sweetened beverages and weight gain in children and
414 adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2013; **98**(4):
415 1084-1102. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.113.058362
- 416
417 33. Kuhnle GGC, Tasevska N, Lentjes MAH, Griffin JL, Sims MA, Richardson L *et al.* Association
418 between sucrose intake and risk of overweight and obesity in a prospective sub-cohort of the
419 European Prospective Investigation into Cancer in Norfolk (EPIC-Norfolk). *Public Health Nutrition*
420 2015; **18**(15): 2815-2824. doi: 10.1017/s1368980015000300
- 421
422 34. Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition. *Carbohydrates and Health*. The Stationery Office:
423 London, 2015.
- 424
425 35. Lassale C, Fezeu L, Andreeva VA, Hercberg S, Kengne AP, Czernichow S *et al.* Association between
426 dietary scores and 13-year weight change and obesity risk in a French prospective cohort.
427 *International Journal of Obesity* 2012; **36**(11): 1455-1462. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2011.264
- 428
429 36. Mozaffarian D, Hao T, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Hu FB. Changes in Diet and Lifestyle and Long-Term
430 Weight Gain in Women and Men. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2011; **364**(25): 2392-2404.
- 431
432 37. Sanchez-Villegas A, Bes-Rastrollo M, Martinez-Gonzalez MA, Serra-Majem L. Adherence to a
433 Mediterranean dietary pattern and weight gain in a follow-up study: the SUN cohort.
434 *International Journal of Obesity* 2006; **30**(2): 350-358. doi: 10.1038/sj.ijo.0803118
- 435
436 38. Romaguera D, Norat T, Vergnaud A-C, Mouw T, May AM, Agudo A *et al.* Mediterranean dietary
437 patterns and prospective weight change in participants of the EPIC-PANACEA project. *American*
438 *Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2010; **92**(4): 912-921. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2010.29482
- 439
440 39. Schroder H, Marrugat J, Vila J, Covas MI, Elosua R. Adherence to the traditional Mediterranean
441 diet is inversely associated with body mass index and obesity in a Spanish population. *Journal of*
442 *Nutrition* 2004; **134**(12): 3355-3361.
- 443
444 40. Panagiotakos DB, Chrysohoou C, Pitsavos C, Stefanadis C. Association between the prevalence of
445 obesity and adherence to the Mediterranean diet: the ATTICA study. *Nutrition* 2006; **22**(5): 449-
446 456. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2005.11.004
- 447
448 41. Romaguera D, Norat T, Mouw T, May AM, Bamia C, Slimani N *et al.* Adherence to the
449 Mediterranean Diet Is Associated with Lower Abdominal Adiposity in European Men and Women.
450 *Journal of Nutrition* 2009; **139**(9): 1728-1737. doi: 10.3945/jn.109.108902
- 451
452 42. Serra-Majem L, Roman B, Estruch R. Scientific evidence of interventions using the Mediterranean
453 diet: A systematic review. *Nutrition Reviews* 2006; **64**(2): S27-S47. doi: 10.1301/nr.2006.feb.S27-
454 S47
- 455

- 456 43. Buckland G, Bach A, Serra-Majem L. Obesity and the Mediterranean diet: a systematic review of
457 observational and intervention studies. *Obesity Reviews* 2008; **9**(6): 582-593. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-
458 789X.2008.00503.x
- 459
460 44. Trichopoulou A, Naska A, Orfanos P, Trichopoulos D. Mediterranean diet in relation to body mass
461 index and waist-to-hip ratio: the Greek European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and
462 Nutrition Study. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2005; **82**(5): 935-940.
- 463
464 45. Guo X, Warden BA, Paeratakul S, Bray GA. Healthy eating index and obesity. *European Journal of*
465 *Clinical Nutrition* 2004; **58**(12): 1580-1586. doi: 10.1038/sj.ejcn.1601989
- 466
467 46. OECD. *Health at a Glance: Europe 2012*: Paris, 2012.
- 468
469 47. Livingstone K, Celis-Morales C, Navas-Carretero S, San-Cristobal R, O'Donovan C, Forster H *et al.*
470 Profile of European adults interested in internet-based personalised nutrition: the Food4Me
471 study. *Eur J Nutr* 2015: 1-11. doi: 10.1007/s00394-015-0897-y
- 472
473 48. Cook C. Mode of administration bias. *J. Man. Manip. Ther.* 2010; **18**(2): 61-63. doi:
474 doi:10.1179/106698110X12640740712617
- 475
476 49. Pursey K, Burrows LT, Stanwell P, Collins EC. How Accurate is Web-Based Self-Reported Height,
477 Weight, and Body Mass Index in Young Adults? *J Med Internet Res* 2014; **16**(1): e4. doi:
478 10.2196/jmir.2909
- 479
480

481 **Figures Legends**

482 **Figure 1. Odds ratios for overall and central obesity by socio-demographic and physical activity**

483 Data presented as adjusted odds ratio and 95% CI. Models were adjusted for age, sex, country and occupation.
484 Physical activity-related exposures were additionally adjusted for total energy intake and wearing time. Physical
485 activity related variables are presented as tertiles. The lowest tertile (Least active) was used as the reference group,
486 except for sedentary behaviour where the highest tertile was used as the reference category. Overweight or obesity
487 was defined as BMI ≥ 25.0 kg/m² and central obesity was defined as waist circumference >88 cm for females and
488 >102 cm for males. PA: physical activity.

489

490 **Figure 2. Odds ratios for overall and central obesity by tertile of nutrients intake**

491 Data presented as adjusted odds ratio and 95% CI. Models were adjusted for age, sex, country and occupation.
492 Dietary fibre and salt were additionally adjusted for total energy intake. All exposures are presented as tertile. The
493 highest tertile (highest intake) was used as reference group, except for dietary fibre, where the lowest tertile (lowest
494 intake) was used as reference group. Overweight or obesity was defined as BMI ≥ 25.0 kg/m² and central obesity was
495 defined as waist circumference >88 cm for females and >102 cm for males.

496

497 **Figure 3. Odds ratios for overall and central obesity by tertile of food groups and diet quality score**

498 Data presented as adjusted odds ratio and 95% CI. Models were adjusted for age, sex, country, occupation and total
499 energy intake. All exposures are presented as tertiles. Overweight or obesity was defined as BMI ≥ 25.0 kg/m² and
500 central obesity was defined as waist circumference >88 cm for females and >102 cm for males.

Table 1. Socio-demographic, physical activity and dietary characteristics by BMI status

	Overall	Normal weight	Overweight	Obese
N	1441	759	448	234
Age (Years), mean (SD)	40.1 (12.0)	36.9 (13.0)	43.0 (12.3)	44.7 (11.4)
Age categories, n (%)				
<30 years	407 (28.2)	295 (38.8)	84 (18.8)	28 (12.0)
30-50 years	643 (44.6)	313 (41.2)	213 (47.5)	117 (50.0)
51-70 years	382 (26.5)	145 (19.1)	150 (33.5)	87 (37.2)
>70 years	9 (0.6)	6 (0.8)	1 (0.2)	2 (0.9)
Gender, n (%)				
Females	832 (57.7)	484 (58.2)	213 (25.6)	135 (16.2)
Males	609 (42.3)	275 (45.1)	235 (38.6)	99 (16.3)
Country, n (%)				
Germany	204 (14.2)	126 (16.6)	59 (13.2)	19 (8.12)
Greece	209 (14.5)	91 (12.0)	74 (16.5)	44 (18.8)
Ireland	208 (14.4)	108 (14.2)	63 (14.1)	37 (15.8)
Netherlands	210 (14.6)	125 (16.5)	58 (13.0)	27 (11.5)
Poland	194 (13.5)	107 (14.1)	54 (12.1)	33 (14.1)
Spain	211 (14.6)	85 (11.2)	83 (18.5)	43 (18.4)
UK	205 (14.2)	117 (15.4)	57 (12.7)	31 (13.3)
Job classification, n (%)				
Professional	569 (39.5)	303 (40.0)	172 (38.4)	94 (40.2)
Intermediate	377 (26.2)	176 (23.3)	133 (29.7)	68 (29.1)
Manual	140 (9.73)	62 (8.1)	51 (11.4)	27 (11.5)
Student	207 (14.4)	162 (21.4)	36 (8.0)	9 (3.8)
Retired/Unemployed	146 (10.2)	54 (7.1)	56 (12.5)	36 (15.4)
Anthropometrics				
Body weight (Kg)	75.3 (15.)	65.4 (9.3)	80.9 (9.5)	96.7 (13.5)
Height (m)	1.7 (0.1)	1.7 (0.1)	1.7 (0.1)	1.7 (0.1)

BMI (kg/m ²)	25.7 (4.7)	22.2 (1.7)	27.2 (1.4)	33.7 (4.2)
Waist circumference (cm)	86.2 (13.6)	77.4 (8.1)	91.3 (8.5)	105.2 (10.8)
Central obesity, n (%)	359 (25.0)	19 (2.5)	128 (28.7)	212 (90.6)
Physical activity				
PAL	1.7 (0.1)	1.7 (0.2)	1.7 (0.1)	1.6 (0.1)
Light PA (min/day)	73.8 (30.3)	78.7 (30.7)	71.9 (29.8)	61.9 (26.0)
Moderate PA (min/day)	33.1 (20.2)	37.7 (19.4)	30.0 (19.8)	24.3 (19.2)
Vigorous PA (min/day)	11.8 (16.2)	15.4 (18.5)	9.30 (12.7)	4.61 (8.7)
Moderate-equivalent PA (min/day)	56.7 (44.9)	68.6 (48.2)	48.6 (38.4)	33.5 (30.7)
Sedentary behaviour (min/day)	745 (76.8)	729 (71.7)	754 (77.1)	777 (79.3)
Physically active, n (%)	587 (47.0)	380 (57.6)	157 (41.3)	50 (23.9)
Nutrients Intake				
Total energy (Kcal)	2563 (1091)	2450 (991)	2566 (1065)	2922 (1349)
Protein (% of TE)	17.1 (3.7)	16.8 (3.5)	17.4 (4.0)	17.5 (3.7)
Total fat (% of TE)	35.9 (5.8)	35.4 (5.8)	36.2 (5.9)	37.1 (5.6)
Saturated fat (% of TE)	14.2 (3.1)	13.9 (3.1)	14.3 (3.1)	14.6 (3.1)
Polyunsaturated fat (% of TE)	5.73 (1.4)	5.7 (1.5)	5.6 (1.3)	5.87 (1.4)
Monounsaturated fats (% of TE)	13.8 (3.1)	13.4 (2.9)	14.0 (3.3)	14.4 (2.9)
Carbohydrates (% of TE)	45.9 (7.5)	46.8 (7.5)	44.8 (7.5)	44.8 (7.2)
Total Sugar (% of TE)	21.0 (5.9)	21.6 (5.8)	20.7 (5.9)	19.8 (5.9)
Alcohol (% of TE)	3.31 (3.8)	3.1 (3.3)	3.8 (4.4)	2.8 (3.7)
Dietary fibre (g/day)	29.8 (14.7)	30.5 (15.8)	28.1 (13.1)	30.6 (13.6)
Salt (g/day)	7.3 (3.7)	7.0 (3.5)	7.2 (3.2)	8.8 (4.7)
Food groups intake				
Fruit and vegetables (g/day)	597 (370)	613 (382)	581.7 (353)	576 (364)
Wholegrains (g/day)	167 (174)	190 (196)	141.5 (139)	145 (145)
Red meat (g/day)	79.0 (74.7)	65.6 (64.8)	85.0 (63.1)	111 (107)
Oily fish (g/day)	21.2 (26.4)	21.5 (28.0)	21.3 (25.7)	20.0 (22.4)
Dairy (g/day)	326 (265)	334 (272)	311.7 (232)	329 (299)

Fruit juice (g/day)	62.4 (61.0)	63.9 (61.2)	62.6 (60.3)	57.4 (61.4)
Processed meat (g/day)	83.6 (77.5)	69.5 (68.9)	89.7 (65.1)	117 (108)
Sugar sweetened carbonated drinks (g/day)	33.1 (129)	27.3 (98.2)	32.5 (79.4)	53.3 (244)
Sweets and pastries (g/day)	94.2 (109)	81.0 (78.5)	99.1 (118)	127.1 (159)
Nuts (g/day)	94.2 (109)	5.9 (11.6)	4.6 (7.2)	4.5 (9.7)
Olive oil (g/day)	7.9 (10.3)	6.8 (8.1)	8.6 (12.8)	10.0 (11.1)
Vegetable oil (g/day)	1.6 (3.4)	1.7 (3.6)	1.3 (3.4)	1.7 (3.4)
Diet quality index				
HEI score	49.3 (9.8)	50.2 (9.4)	48.8 (10.2)	47.1 (9.8)
MD score	5.1 (1.6)	5.2 (1.6)	5.0 (1.7)	4.9 (1.7)

Data presented as mean (SD) for continuous variables or numbers (%) for categorical variables.

HEI: healthy eating index; MD: Mediterranean diet; PA: physical activity, TE: total energy; PAL: physical activity levels.

Table 2. Associations between BMI and socio-demographic, physical activity and diet-related characteristics.

Standardised variables	Model 1		Model 2	
	Beta (95%CI)	P-value	Beta (95%CI)	P-value
Socio-demographic				
Age	1.33 (1.09 to 1.57)	<0.0001	1.11 (0.85 to 1.36)	<0.0001
Physical activity				
PAL	-0.65 (-0.90 to -0.40)	<0.0001	-0.43 (-0.69 to -0.16)	0.002
Light PA	-0.95 (-1.20 to -0.71)	<0.0001	-0.77 (-1.03 to -0.52)	<0.0001
Moderate PA	-1.22 (-1.47 to -0.97)	<0.0001	-1.06 (-1.34 to -0.78)	<0.0001
Vigorous PA	-1.23 (-1.48 to -0.97)	<0.0001	-1.04 (-1.31 to -0.77)	<0.0001
Moderate-equivalent PA	-1.48 (-1.73 to -1.22)	<0.0001	-1.36 (-1.64 to -1.07)	<0.0001
Sedentary behaviour	0.90 (0.64 to 1.15)	<0.0001	0.73 (0.46 to 1.00)	<0.0001
Nutrients				
Total energy	0.61 (0.37 to 0.86)	<0.0001	0.50 (0.25 to 0.76)	<0.0001
Protein*	0.64 (0.40 to 0.88)	<0.0001	0.74 (0.21 to 1.27)	0.006
Total fats*	0.70 (0.46 to 0.94)	<0.0001	0.84 (0.07 to 1.61)	0.032
Saturated fat*	0.58 (0.34 to 0.82)	<0.0001	0.26 (-0.08 to 0.62)	0.134
Polyunsaturated fat*	-0.03 (-0.27 to 0.21)	0.826	-0.43 (-0.71 to -0.15)	0.002
Monounsaturated fats*	0.89 (0.66 to 1.13)	<0.0001	0.80 (0.52 to 1.09)	<0.0001
Carbohydrates*	-0.75 (-0.99 to -0.52)	<0.0001	0.28 (-0.65 to 1.20)	0.559
Total Sugar*	-0.62 (-0.85 to -0.38)	<0.0001	-0.23 (-0.51 to 0.05)	0.108
Alcohol*	-0.18 (-0.43 to 0.06)	0.139	0.20 (-0.35 to 0.74)	0.479
Dietary fibre* [†]	-0.33 (-0.57 to -0.09)	0.006	-1.02 (-1.41 to -0.62)	<0.0001
Salt* [‡]	0.67 (0.43 to 0.91)	<0.0001	0.49 (-0.05 to 1.04)	0.080
Food groups				
Fruit and vegetables [†]	-0.42 (-0.66 to -0.19)	<0.0001	-0.52 (-0.79 to -0.26)	<0.0001
Wholegrains [†]	-0.70 (-0.93 to -0.47)	<0.0001	-1.05 (-1.32 to -0.78)	<0.0001
Red meat [†]	1.14 (0.90 to 1.38)	<0.0001	1.02 (0.76 to 1.28)	<0.0001

Oily fish [†]	-0.21 (-0.45 to 0.04)	0.096	-0.31 (-0.56 to -0.05)	0.017
Dairy [†]	0.06 (-0.18 to 0.29)	0.640	-0.31 (-0.58 to -0.05)	0.021
Fruit juice [†]	-0.16 (-0.40 to 0.07)	0.171	-0.25 (-0.50 to -0.01)	0.043
Processed meat [†]	1.16 (0.92 to 1.39)	<0.0001	1.04 (0.78 to 1.30)	<0.0001
Sugar sweetened carbonated drinks [†]	0.41 (0.17 to 0.65)	0.001	0.33 (0.08 to 0.57)	0.009
Sweets and pastries [†]	0.59 (0.36 to 0.83)	<0.0001	0.36 (-0.01 to 0.72)	0.052
Nuts [†]	-0.42 (-0.66 to -0.18)	0.001	-0.52 (-0.76 to -0.28)	<0.0001
Olive oil (g/day)	0.48 (0.24 to 0.72)	<0.0001	0.36 (0.11 to 0.61)	0.004
Vegetable oil (g/day)	-0.05 (-0.28 to 0.19)	0.697	-0.12 (-0.35 to 0.12)	0.348
Diet quality index				
HEI score [†]	-0.63 (-0.87 to -0.39)	<0.0001	-0.42 (-0.68 to -0.16)	0.002
MD score [†]	-0.44 (-0.68 to -0.20)	<0.0001	-0.40 (-0.65 to -0.15)	0.002

Data presented as beta coefficient and their 95%CI. All exposure variables were standardised therefore changes in the outcome is presented per 1-SD change in the exposure.

Model 1 was adjusted for socio-demographics covariates (age, sex, country and occupation)

Model 2 for physical activity variables was also adjusted for total energy intake.

Model 2 for dietary intake variables was additionally adjusted for sedentary behaviour, PAL.

*these variables were additionally adjusted for protein, carbohydrates, total fat and alcohol intake, as appropriate.

[†] these variables were additionally adjusted for total energy intake.

Physical activity variables were also adjusted for accelerometer wearing time.

HEI: healthy eating index; MD: Mediterranean diet; PA: physical activity, TE: total energy; PAL: physical activity levels.

Table 3. Associations between waist circumference and socio-demographic, physical activity and diet-related factors.

Variables (standardised)	Model 1		Model 2	
	Beta (95%CI)	P-value	Beta (95%CI)	P-value
Socio-demographic				
Age	4.92 (4.32 to 5.51)	<0.0001	4.41 (3.78 to 5.03)	<0.0001
Physical activity				
PAL	-2.25 (-2.87 to -1.64)	<0.0001	-1.70 (-2.36 to -1.04)	<0.0001
Light PA	-2.48 (-3.10 to -1.87)	<0.0001	-1.96 (-2.61 to -1.32)	<0.0001
Moderate PA	-3.16 (-3.78 to -2.53)	<0.0001	-2.63 (-3.34 to -1.94)	<0.0001
Vigorous PA	-3.57 (-4.20 to -2.94)	<0.0001	-3.07 (-3.73 to -2.40)	<0.0001
Moderate-equivalent PA	-4.10 (-4.72 to -3.47)	<0.0001	-3.76 (-4.47 to -3.06)	<0.0001
Sedentary behaviour	2.49 (1.85 to 3.12)	<0.0001	1.83 (1.16 to 2.51)	<0.0001
Nutrients intake				
Total energy	1.65 (1.05 to 2.25)	<0.0001	1.33 (0.69 to 1.97)	<0.0001
Protein*	0.83 (0.24 to 1.43)	0.006	1.38 (0.06 to 2.70)	0.041
Total fats*	1.63 (1.04 to 2.22)	<0.0001	2.45 (0.53 to 4.38)	0.012
Saturated fat*	1.45 (0.86 to 2.05)	<0.0001	0.59 (-0.29 to 1.46)	0.193
Polyunsaturated fat*	-0.05 (-0.64 to 0.54)	0.867	-0.75 (-1.45 to -0.05)	0.034
Monounsaturated fats*	2.23 (1.65 to 2.82)	<0.0001	1.89 (1.17 to 2.60)	<0.0001
Carbohydrates*	-1.52 (-2.10 to -0.93)	<0.0001	1.13 (-1.17 to 0.45)	0.335
Total Sugar*	-1.17 (-2.26 to -1.08)	<0.0001	-1.03 (-1.73 to -0.33)	0.004
Alcohol*	-0.14 (-0.74 to 0.47)	0.657	0.87 (-0.49 to 2.24)	0.212
Dietary fibre* [†]	-0.73 (-1.33 to -0.14)	0.015	-2.30 (-3.30 to -1.30)	<0.0001
Salt* [‡]	1.73 (1.13 to 2.33)	<0.0001	1.68 (0.30 to 3.06)	0.017
Food groups intake				
Fruit and vegetables [†]	-1.38 (-1.97 to -0.79)	<0.0001	-1.66 (-2.32 to -1.01)	<0.0001
Wholegrains [†]	-1.66 (-2.24 to -1.07)	<0.0001	-2.34 (-3.01 to -1.68)	<0.0001
Red meat [†]	2.75 (2.16 to 3.34)	<0.0001	2.34 (1.70 to 2.98)	<0.0001

Oily fish [†]	-0.76 (-1.37 to -0.16)	0.013	-1.02 (-1.65 to -0.40)	0.001
Dairy [†]	-0.40 (-0.99 to 0.19)	0.182	-1.24 (-1.90 to -0.59)	<0.0001
Fruit juice [†]	-0.68 (-1.27 to -0.09)	0.025	-1.07 (-1.67 to -0.46)	0.001
Processed meat [†]	2.76 (2.18 to 3.35)	<0.0001	2.36 (1.72 to 3.00)	<0.0001
Sugar sweetened carbonated drinks [†]	1.20 (0.61 to 1.79)	<0.0001	0.99 (0.39 to 1.60)	0.001
Sweets and pastries [†]	1.62 (1.04 to 2.21)	<0.0001	0.72 (-0.17 to 1.62)	0.113
Nuts [†]	-1.19 (-1.78 to -0.60)	<0.0001	-1.33 (-1.93 to -0.74)	<0.0001
Olive oil (g/day)	1.02 (0.43 to 1.61)	0.001	0.71 (0.10 to 1.32)	0.022
Vegetable oil (g/day)	-0.8 (-0.67 to 0.50)	0.780	-0.23 (-0.83 to 0.36)	0.446
Diet quality index				
HEI score [†]	-1.94 (-2.53 to -1.34)	<0.0001	-1.25 (-1.91 to -0.60)	<0.0001
MD score [†]	-1.50 (-2.09 to -0.90)	<0.0001	-1.33 (-1.95 to -0.72)	<0.0001

Data presented as beta coefficient and their 95%CI. All exposure variables were standardised therefore changes in the outcome is presented per 1-SD change in the exposure.

Model 1 was adjusted for socio-demographics covariates (age, sex, country and occupation)

Model 2 for physical activity variables was also adjusted for total energy intake.

Model 2 for dietary intake variables was additionally adjusted for sedentary behaviour, PAL.

*these variables were additionally adjusted for protein, carbohydrates, total fat and alcohol intake, as appropriate.

[†] these variables were additionally adjusted for total energy intake.

Physical activity variables were also adjusted for accelerometer wearing time.

HEI: healthy eating index; MD: Mediterranean diet; PA: physical activity, TE: total energy; PAL: physical activity levels.





