

# Decellularised extracellular matrix-derived peptides from neural retina and retinal pigment epithelium enhance the expression of synaptic markers and light responsiveness of human pluripotent stem cell derived retinal organoids

Birthe Dorgau <sup>1\*</sup>, Majed Felemban<sup>1\*</sup>, Gerrit Hilgen<sup>2</sup>, Martin Kiening<sup>1</sup>, Darin Zerti<sup>1</sup>, Nicola Claire Hunt<sup>1</sup>, Mary Doherty<sup>3</sup>, Phil Whitfield<sup>3</sup>, Dean Hallam<sup>1</sup>, Kathryn White<sup>4</sup>, Yuchun Ding<sup>5</sup>, Natalio Krasnogor<sup>5</sup>, Jumana Al-Aama<sup>6</sup>, Hani Z Asfour<sup>7</sup>, Evelyne Sernagor<sup>2</sup> and Majlinda Lako<sup>1&</sup>

*\*both authors contributed equally*

1. Institute of Genetic Medicine, Newcastle University, UK
2. Institute of Neuroscience, Newcastle University, UK
3. University of the Highlands and Islands, UK
4. EM Research Services, Newcastle University, UK
5. Interdisciplinary Computing and Complex Biosystems (ICOS) Research Group, Newcastle University, UK
6. Department of Genetic Medicine and Princess Al-Jawhara Center of Excellence in Research of Hereditary Disorders, Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia
7. Department of Medical Microbiology and Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Princess Al-Jawhara Center of Excellence in Research of Hereditary Disorders, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah , Saudi Arabia

*& correspondence should be addressed to:*

Prof. Majlinda Lako  
Newcastle University  
Institute of Genetic Medicine  
International Centre for Life  
Central Parkway  
Newcastle NE1 3BZ  
Email: [majlinda.lako@ncl.ac.uk](mailto:majlinda.lako@ncl.ac.uk)  
Phone: 0191 241 8688

Keywords: decellularisation, extracellular matrix, RPE, neural retina, retinal organoids, human pluripotent stem cells

## Abstract

Tissue specific extracellular matrices (ECM) provide structural support and enable access to molecular signals and metabolites which are essential for directing stem cell renewal and differentiation. To mimic this phenomenon *in vitro*, tissue decellularisation approaches have been developed, resulting in the generation of natural ECM scaffolds that have comparable physical and biochemical properties of the natural tissues and are currently gaining traction in tissue engineering and regenerative therapies due to the ease of standardized production, and constant availability. In this manuscript we report the successful generation of decellularised ECM-derived peptides from neural retina (decel NR) and retinal pigment epithelium (decel RPE), and their impact on differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) to retinal organoids. We show that culture media supplementation with decel RPE and RPE-conditioned media (CM RPE) significantly increases the generation of rod photoreceptors, whilst addition of decel NR and decel RPE significantly enhances ribbon synapse marker expression and the light responsiveness of retinal organoids. Photoreceptor maturation, formation of correct synapses between retinal cells and recording of robust light responses from hPSC-derived retinal organoids remain unresolved challenges for the field of regenerative medicine. Enhanced rod photoreceptor differentiation, synaptogenesis and light response in response to addition of decellularised matrices from RPE and neural retina as shown herein provide a novel and substantial advance in generation of retinal organoids for drug screening, tissue engineering and regenerative medicine.

## Highlights

- Early/middle stages of differentiation are not affected by decel NR, decel RPE or RPE conditioned media (CM RPE) supplementation
- Supplementation with decel RPE enhances RPE generation
- CM RPE and decel RPE enhance the formation of rod photoreceptors
- Decel RPE and decel NR enhance the expression of synaptic markers and the light driven responses
- Our findings provide the first substantive evidence for the role of retinal decellularised matrices in enhancing the differentiation and functionality of hPSC-derived retinal organoids

## 1. Introduction

The potential of human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) to differentiate into retinal cells makes them a great tool for the study of inherited and age related retinal dystrophies, drug screening/repurposing and provision of a limitless source of cells for cell based therapies. In the last decade, seminal discoveries made by Sasai's group have led to the generation of retinal organoids containing key retinal cell types such as photoreceptors, Müller glia and other retinal neurons organized in a laminated structures, from murine, primate and human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) and human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs) [1-6]. Intense work has been performed by several groups worldwide to improve the robustness and efficiency of differentiation protocols and to understand the factors and signalling pathways that are required to enhance retinal specification. This has led to generation of multiple *in vitro* disease models for inherited and age related disease and increased availability of human retinal tissue for basic biology and proof-of-concept toxicology and transplantation studies [4, 7, 8]. However, current differentiation protocols are impeded by several key challenges as follows: (1) high intra line and inter experimental variability; (2) laborious and lengthy methods [9]; (3) inability to generate the correct proportions of all retinal cell types and to maintain the three clearly separated nuclear layers and appropriate synaptic connections in long term cultures [9]; (4) inability to elicit light responses which are fully comparable to adult retina [3, 5, 9-12] and (5) uncertainty about the extent to which hESCs- and hiPSCs-derived retinal organoids recapitulate *in vivo* human retinal development. Many groups have reported the generation of retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) cells [9, 12-14], however the latter are often found at the opposite side of the retinal organoid (and not adjacent to NR as *in vivo*), resulting in impaired interaction between the NR and RPE, thus affecting retinal lamination, spatial reorganisation and maturation of photoreceptors, all of which are dependent on the RPE- NR interactions [15, 16].

*In vivo*, RPE cells release many extracellular molecules (ECMs) (for example laminin, collagen and hyaluronic acid) which help to maintain retinal integrity and photoreceptor viability and function [17-19]. Indeed, *in vitro* proteomic studies have shown the secretion of numerous trophic factors and ECMs molecules, including fibronectin, collagen and

laminin from foetal and adult RPE into the culture media [20]. The biological effect of the RPE secreted factors is reflected in enhanced proliferation, neurite outgrowth and differentiation of the photoreceptors isolated from neonatal rat retina co-cultured with RPE conditioned media (CM RPE) [21] as well as enhanced RPE differentiation from hESCs [22]. Co-culture of NR with CM RPE significantly decreased the thinning of outer nuclear layer, photoreceptor axon retraction and apoptosis [23], thus suggesting the presence of key factors in CM RPE which support photoreceptor viability under *in vitro* culture conditions. Co-culturing of rat NR with RPE cells also has been shown to promote the attachment of the photoreceptors, axons growth and migration of their cell bodies toward the RPE cells *in vivo* as well as photoreceptors development by increasing the synthesis of rhodopsin [16]. Given the close interactions of RPE with NR, their co-culture has been used to support the maintenance of NR in novel organotypic culture model [24]. For example, Kaempf *et al.* indicated that co-culture of adult porcine NR with choroid-RPE explant *in vitro* enhanced the maintenance of the retina by reducing apoptosis in both nuclear cell layers, decreasing gliosis and increasing glutamate synthetase compared to retina cultured alone [24].

In addition to secreted factors provided in CM and direct co-culture of several cell types, tissue decellularisation has been proposed as a useful method for providing the necessary biochemical and biophysical features of cellular niches that are needed to promote progenitor and stem cell differentiation and engraftment [25-32]. Tissue decellularisation consists of removing the cellular material from tissues so that only the ECM components remain [25]. This process preserves the integrity of the ECM which can be used as an additional culture medium supplement, as a scaffold to support cell engraftment and differentiation and a source of biochemical and biophysical cues for the cells that reside within it [25]. To date, decellularised ECM (decel ECM) has been successfully achieved from many tissues including heart, liver, lung, kidney and brain [26-32], [33], [34]. Several groups have also performed decellularisation of tissues in the eye including cornea, retina, Bruch's membrane and RPE [25, 35-39]. More recently, decel ECM has been prepared from bovine NR and shown to support the attachment and differentiation of human retinal progenitor cells *in vitro* [36]. Despite these encouraging findings, the role of decellularised ECM from neural retina or RPE on the generation and functionality of hESC- and hiPSC-derived retinal organoids has not been addressed to-date. In this study we report the successful derivation of decellularised ECM from adult bovine NR (decel NR) and RPE (decel RPE) and show a

significant role in enhancing photoreceptor differentiation, synaptogenesis and light responsiveness of pluripotent stem cell derived retinal organoids.

## **2. Materials and Methods**

### **2.1. Preparation of RPE conditioned medium (CM RPE)**

CM RPE was collected every day from polarized mature RPE (TER>250Ω) derived from hESCs and hiPSCs cultured in DMEM:F12 medium (Life Technologies, UK) supplemented with 2% B27 (Gibco, UK) & 1% N2 (Gibco, UK) ), **based on a protocol published by our group [40, 41]**. The RPE cells density was 100,000 cells/ cm<sup>2</sup>. CM RPE was **collected and combined from both hESC- and hiPSC-derived RPE then** centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 4 minutes, diluted 1:3.5 in retinal organoid media and filtered prior to usage.

### **2.2. Preparation of decellularised ECM from NR and RPE**

RPE & NR were isolated from four adult bovine eyes freshly collected from the abattoir within one hour after slaughter. The eyeballs were hemisected to remove the cornea and vitreous humour and the retina was peeled very gently from the RPE and immersed into phosphate buffer saline (PBS, Sigma-Aldrich, UK). The isolated tissues (RPE/NR) were washed twice with PBS on orbital shaker at 60 rpm for 5 minutes. The RPE and NR decellularisation procedures were performed following the protocol published by Medberry *et al.*, 2013 [42]. In brief, the RPE was washed as follow: distilled H<sub>2</sub>O (16 hours at 4°C, 60 rpm); 0.02% trypsin/0.05% EDTA (60 minutes at 37°C, 20 rpm); 3% TritonX-100 (60 minutes at 4°C), 1.0 M Sucrose (15 minutes at 4°C), distilled H<sub>2</sub>O (15 minutes), 4% Sodium Deoxycholate (60 minutes at 4°C), 0.1% peracetic acid in 4% Ethanol (V/V) (120 min at 4°C), PBS (15 min at 4°C), distilled H<sub>2</sub>O (15 min at 4°C) twice. Each step was performed under 60 rpm stirring unless otherwise stated and followed by distilled water rinsing through a strainer. Retinae were processed following the same stages under milder conditions: concentrations, incubation times and stirring rates all underwent a two-fold decrease besides Trypsin/EDTA (0.005%/0.0125%, 30 minutes at 37°C, 20 rpm) and Sucrose (0.1M, 30 minutes at 4°C). Decellularised RPE and NR were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen for 1-2 minutes before freeze-drying at -54°C, 0.5 bar for 48h (FreeZone Labconco, Chemical Engineering Department, Newcastle University). The dried decellularised RPE and NR were

then stored at -20°C until digestion. The tissues were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen for 30-60 seconds, and immediately crushed with a glass pestle in a mortar previously cooled on dry-ice to obtain a fine powder. 10mg of each powder was digested by 1mg/ml pepsin (porcine gastric mucosa  $\geq 2,500$  unit/mg, Sigma-Aldrich, P7012) in 0.01 N HCl. The solutions were centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 15 minutes, filtered on a 40  $\mu\text{m}$  strainer and stored at -20°C until needed.

### **2.3. ECMs and DNA quantification**

The following colorimetric assays were performed to quantify the amount of collagen, glycosaminoglycan (GAGs), Hyaluronic Acid, Laminin and DNA content in the decel RPE and decel NR, native RPE and NR, CM RPE the RPE media.

#### **2.3.1. Hydroxyproline assay**

Hydroxyproline assay kit (BioVision, K555-100) was used according to manufacturer's protocol to quantify the amount of collagen. The absorbance was measured at 560 nm in a plate reader (Varioskan LUX, Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK). Each sample was run in triplicate and the average absorbance value was calculated. The background value was corrected against the blank controls and the concentration of each sample was determined against a Hydroxyproline standard.

#### **2.3.2. Dimethylmethylene blue assay (DMMB)**

Sulphated GAGs concentration was measured using dimethylmethylene blue assay (DMMB) which results in a change in the absorbance spectrum while forming the GAG-DMMB complex. The DMMB reagent was prepared as follows: 16 mg DMMB in 1 L double-distilled water containing 3.04 g glycine, 1.6 g NaCl and 95 ml of 0.1 M Acetic Acid. The pH of the solution was 3.0 and all the DMMB components were from (Sigma-Aldrich, UK). 20 $\mu\text{l}$  of each sample was transferred to a 96-well plate and incubated with DMMB reagent (200 $\mu\text{l}$ /sample) for 5 seconds. Then, the absorbance value was read at 525 nm using Varioskan LUX plate reader. The average value was calculated, corrected against a blank control and the concentration was determined against a standard chondroitin 4-sulfate from bovine (Sigma-Aldrich, UK).

### **2.3.3. Turbidimetric measurement of Hyaluronic Acid**

Hyaluronic Acid was quantified using a turbidimetric protocol, based on the formation of insoluble complex between Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB; Sigma-Aldrich, UK) and the isolated acid mucopolysaccharides [43]. The samples were diluted (1:1 ratio) in acetate buffer (0.2M Acetic acid, 0.15M NaCl, pH 6.0). Then, 100µl of the solution (triplicate/sample) was transferred to a 96-well plate and incubated at 37.5°C for 15 minutes. Afterwards, 200µl of CTAB reagent (2.5 g of CTAB dissolved in 100ml of 2% NaOH) was added per well and the plate was incubated at room temperature on a plate shaker (300 rpm) for 30 seconds before the absorbance was measured at 405 nm using Varioskan LUX plate reader. The mean absorbance value was calculated and corrected against the blank control. Then, the concentration of Hyaluronic Acid was determined against a standard Hyaluronic Acid sodium salt from *Streptococcus equi* (Sigma-Aldrich, UK).

### **2.3.4. Laminin**

A bovine Laminin enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Laminin-ELISA) kit (Amsbio, UK) was used according to the manufacturer's protocol to quantify Laminin concentration in the native and decellularised RPE and NR. The absorbance was measured at 450nm using a Varioskan LUX plate reader. The mean absorbance value was calculated and the concentration of Laminin was determined against a Laminin standard. Since CM RPE was collected from human RPE cells, Laminin quantification in normal and CM RPE was performed on a different ELISA kit from human (Aviva Systems Biology, UK) following the manufacturer's protocol. The absorbance was measured as described above.

### **2.3.5. DNA Quantification**

The cellular DNA content was measured using a Hoechst fluorometric assay following a protocol indicated by Rago *et al.*, 1990 [44]. The samples were diluted (1:1 ratio) in TNE buffer (10 mM Tris, 2 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) containing 20 µg/ml of Hoechst 33258 (Life Technologies, UK). Then, 200µl of the solution (triplicate/sample) was transferred to a 96-well plate. The fluorescence intensity was proportional to the amount of DNA in the sample and was measured at a fluoro-chrome excited at 350 nm and the emission

wavelength was read at 460 nm using Varioskan LUX plate reader. Then, the concentration was determined against a DNA standard from calf thymus (Sigma-Aldrich, UK).

#### **2.4. Peptide Analysis by High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry**

Samples were subjected to acetone precipitation, with the acetone fraction, containing peptides, dried under vacuum and reconstituted in 0.1% formic acid. Peptide analysis was performed in positive ion mode using a Thermo LTQ-Orbitrap XL LC-MS<sup>n</sup> mass spectrometer equipped with a nanospray source and coupled to a Waters nanoAcquity UPLC system. The samples were initially desalted and concentrated on a BEH C18 trapping column (Waters, Manchester, UK). The peptides were then separated on a BEH C18 nanocolumn (1.7  $\mu$ m, 75  $\mu$ m x 250 mm, Waters) at a flow rate of 300 nL/min using an ACN/water gradient; 1% ACN for 1 min, followed by 0–62.5% ACN over 21 min, 62.5–85% ACN for 1.5 min, 85% ACN for 2 min and 1% ACN for 15 min. MS spectra were collected using data-dependent acquisition in the range m/z 200-2000 using a precursor ion resolution of 30,000 following which individual precursor ions (top 5) were automatically fragmented using collision induced dissociation (CID) with a relative collision energy of 35%. Dynamic exclusion was enabled with a repeat count of 2, repeat duration of 30 s and exclusion duration of 180 s. Data were first analysed using Proteome Discoverer v1.1 (Thermo) with the MASCOT (Matrix Science, London, UK) search engine against the UniProt database. The initial search parameters allowed for oxidation of methionine, a precursor mass tolerance of 10 ppm, a fragment mass tolerance of  $\pm$  0.5 Da, and a FDR of 0.01. For relative quantification, raw data files were analysed using Progenesis QIP (Non-Linear Dynamics, Newcastle, UK) using the search parameters outlined above.

#### **2.4. Human Pluripotent Stem Cells differentiation to retinal organoids**

Retinal organoids were derived from two different cell lines: hiPSCs derived from adult fibroblasts (SB-Ad3) [45] and hESCs (H9, Wicell Inc.). Stem cells were expanded in mTeSR<sup>TM</sup>1 (StemCell Technologies, 05850) at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> on 6 well plates pre-coated with Low Growth Factor Matrigel (Corning, 354230). The retinal organoids were generated following a protocol described in Mellough *et al.*, 2015 [46] with the addition of ROCK

inhibitor (Y-27632 dihydrochloride) (10  $\mu$ M) for the first 48 hours of differentiation. Further modifications included the addition of 10% Fetal Calf Serum (Life Technologies, UK), T3 (40 ng/ml; Sigma-Aldrich UK), Taurine (0.1 mM; Sigma-Aldrich UK) and Retinoic Acid (0.5  $\mu$ M; Sigma-Aldrich UK) from day 18 of differentiation onwards. After day 18 of differentiation, the cells were divided into 4 groups with different cultural conditions: Control, CM RPE, decel NR (10  $\mu$ g/ml) and decel RPE (10  $\mu$ g/ml) (**Figure S1**). Retinal organoids were collected on day 35, 90 and 150 for qRT-PCR and immunohistochemistry (IHC). For IHC the organoids were fixed in 4% (w/v) PFA for 20 minutes, followed by three washes in PBS and overnight cryoprotection in 30% sucrose in PBS before embedding in OCT medium (Cell Path Ltd., Newtown, UK). 10 $\mu$ m cryostat sections were collected using a Leica Cm1860 cryostat (Leica, Germany). For qRT-PCR retinal organoids were collected, washed with PBS and immediately frozen at -80°C.

## 2.5. Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Cryosections were air-dried, washed several times in PBS and incubated in blocking solution (10 % normal goat serum, 0.3 % Triton-X-100 in PBS) for one hour at room temperature. Antibody diluent solution was prepared (1 % bovine serum albumin (BSA), 0.3 % Triton-X100 in PBS) and used to dilute all antibodies. Tissue sections were incubated with **widely used retinal cell type specific** primary antibodies (**Table S1**) overnight at 4°C and washed several times with antibody diluent before incubating with secondary antibodies (**Table S1**) at room temperature for 2 hours. Afterwards, sections were washed three times in PBS and mounted with Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) containing Hoechst (Life Technologies, UK). **To assess the specificity of primary antibody binding, these were omitted and replaced with isotype controls as described in our recent publication [47]. For all antibodies, no non-specific binding was observed [47]. To assess the specificity of secondary antibody staining, primary antibodies were omitted. Again no non-specific binding was observed (Figure S2).**

## 2.6. Image capture and analysis

Images were captured using an Axio Imager upright microscope with Apotome structured illumination fluorescence (Zeiss, Germany) using 20x objective and 63x oil

objective operated with AxioVision software. Tissue sections of three biological replicates of hPSCs-derived retinal organoids were analysed. Each biological replicate of day 35, 90 and 150 retinal organoids included 10-15 organoids per condition. Final images are presented as a maximum projection and adjusted for brightness and contrast in Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems).

### **2.6.1. Image Quantification**

Cell image quantitation was performed using the MATLAB software (Mathworks, MA). The data was presented as image segmentation using Hysteresis thresholding technique to segment the cells and filter out any noise background from the images [48]. The measuring property of MATLAB (regionprops) was used to represent all the pixels of each image as single region in order to extract the information about each cell including the size, average intensity value and length. After obtaining the final segmentation information, the total size and the percentage of the positive cells were calculated and exported as excel file for further analysis.

The quantification of synaptic marker proteins, Bassoon and CtBP2, was performed using ImageJ (NIH, Bethesda, MD). For hESCs and hiPSCs, 5–7 and 3 individual organoids per condition were analysed respectively. All images were taken with the same exposure time and three squares (500 x 500 pixels) to cover the whole retinal structure were validated in each organoid image. Number of patches and median intensity were calculated automatically by ImageJ using an intensity threshold [49] to ensure an accurate count with same conditions for all groups. All results were further analysed using Microsoft Excel and Prism (GraphPad, USA).

### **2.7. qRT-PCR**

15-20 retinal organoids were homogenised using a Dounce Tissue Grinder (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) to extract the RNA using the Promega tissue extraction kit (Promega, USA) as per the manufactures instructions. 1µg of RNA was reverse transcribed using random primers (Promega, USA). qRT-PCR was performed using a Quant Studio 7 Flex system (Applied Biosystems, USA) with SYBR Green reaction mixture (Promega, USA). Each primer (**Table S2**) was used at a concentration of 1 µM, and at a ratio of 50:50 for forward and reverse. The reaction parameters were as follows: 95°C for 15 minutes to denature the

cDNA and primers, 40 cycles of 94°C for 15 seconds followed by primer specific annealing temperature for 30 seconds (60°C), succeeded by a melt curve. A comparative cycle threshold (Ct) method was used to calculate the levels of relative expression, whereby the Ct was normalised to the endogenous control (*GAPDH*). This calculation gives the  $\Delta^{Ct}$  value, which was then normalised to a reference sample (i.e. control group), giving the  $\Delta\Delta^{Ct}$ . The fold change was calculated using the following formula:  $2^{-\Delta\Delta^{Ct}}$ .

## 2.8. Electrophysiological recordings

24 hours prior to electrophysiological recordings, 9-cis retinal (10nM; Sigma-Aldrich, UK) was added to the incubation medium. Organoids were transferred to 34°C artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) containing the following (in mM): 118 NaCl, 25 NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 1 NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 3 KCl, 1 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 glucose, 0.5 l-Glutamine and 0.01 9-cis-retinal. Organoids were opened longitudinally and placed, with the presumed retinal ganglion cell (RGC) layer facing down on the electrodes, onto a 4096 channel multielectrode array (MEA), flattened with a translucent polyester membrane filter (Sterlitech Corp., Kent, WA, USA). The organoids were allowed to settle for at least 2 hours. Recordings were performed on the BioCam4096 MEA platform with BioChips 4096S+ (3Brain GmbH, Lanquart, Switzerland), integrating 4096 square microelectrodes in a 64x64 array configuration.

Light stimuli were projected as described previously [50]. Broad white (high photopic) light pulses (WLP, 200 ms, 217  $\mu$ W/cm<sup>2</sup> irradiance, 1Hz) were flashed for 5 min onto the organoids following recording spontaneous activity in the dark for 5 min. The drug cGMP (8-Bromoguanosine 3', 5'-cyclic monophosphate, Sigma-Aldrich, MO) was puffed in the recording chamber (final concentration: 100 $\mu$ M) and activity was recorded continuously for 4 minutes, starting at 2 minutes before the puff.

To reliably extract spikes from the raw traces, a quantile based event detection was used [51]. Single-unit spikes were sorted using an automated spike sorting method for dense, large-scale recordings [52]. Statistical significance (unpaired t-test) and firing rate analyses were evaluated by using MATLAB (Mathworks, MA) and Prism (GraphPad, CA). RGCs were considered responsive if they show at least 25% increase or decrease in spiking activity during 30 seconds after WLP onset compared to a similar time window before the light was turned on. For each cell, all spikes occurring during these two time windows were counted and the mean % change ( $\pm$ SEM) in activity between windows was calculated.

## 2.9. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

Cells were fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde and kept at 4°C. Sample processing and TEM was performed at Newcastle University Electron Microscopy Research Services. Ultrathin sections were stained with heavy metal salts (uranyl acetate and lead citrate) and imaged on a Philips CM100 TEM (Philips, Japan).

## 2.10. Statistical Analyses

All statistical tests were performed using Prism (GraphPad, USA). The standard errors of all means (SEM) were calculated. Statistical significance was tested using one-way ANOVA (Dunnett statistical hypothesis for multiple test correction). Asterisk = p-value < 0.05, two asterisks = P-value < 0.01, three asterisks = P-value < 0.001, four asterisks = P-value < 0.0001.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. ECMs composition in CM RPE, decel NR & decel RPE

In order to examine the role of decellularised ECM on the differentiation and functionality of hPSCs-derived retinal organoids, NR and RPE tissue were extracted and decellularised from adult bovine eyes [42]. To confirm the efficiency of the decellularisation process, the DNA content was assessed in decel NR and RPE samples. These results indicated the DNA content to be 8.5 and 4.3 µg/mg of initial dry weight of NR and RPE, respectively (**Figure S3A**), which was significantly lower than the amount of DNA in the native tissues, indicating that the bulk of cellular material was removed after the decellularisation process from the native retina (~92%) and RPE (~80.5%). This result was similar to that reported by Kundu *et al.*, 2016 where (~94%) of cellular material was removed after the decellularisation of NR from bovine eyes [36].

The CM was collected from polarised RPE cells derived from hPSCs and the key ECM components (collagen, Laminin, GAGs and HA) were quantified and compared to RPE media and native tissue of bovine retina and RPE, respectively (**Figure S3B-D** and **Table S3**). The concentration of all analysed ECM components (collagen, Laminin, GAGs and HA) was significantly increased in the CM RPE compared to the normal RPE media (**Figure S3B** and **Table S3**). Opposite results were found for the native retina after decellularisation, revealing a significant decrease in GAGs and HA in the decel NR compared to the native retina (**Figure**

**S3C** and **Table S3**). Similar to decel NR, the collagen, GAGs and HA were significantly reduced in the decel RPE compared to the native RPE after decellularisation (**Figure S3D** and **Table S3**). Together these results indicate that some of the ECM components (collagen, sulfated GAGs and HA) were lost during the decellularisation procedures; however laminin concentration was not affected by this process (**Figure S3C, D**).

Proteomics analysis of decel NR and RPE was carried out to gain more insights into the peptides present in these samples (**Table S4** and **Table S5**). A large number of ECM components including various collagen and laminin chains as well as versican were identified in both decel NR and RPE. A comparative analysis indicated significant differences in the abundance of collagen chains between decel RPE and decel NR (**Table S6**). Versican was more abundant in decel NR, whilst laminin chains showed no significant difference in expression between the two samples.

### ***3.2. Effects of ECM supplementation on early and middle stages of retinal differentiation***

The effects of each ECM supplementation (CM RPE, decel NR or decel RPE) in retinal organoids was analysed in detail using qRT-PCR and IHC with specific developmental marker proteins at different time points during differentiation. In addition, cell quantification analysis of key retinal markers was also used to validate the impact of each ECM supplementation at key stages during the differentiation process (early: day 35, mid: day 90 and late: day 150). The stage selection for differentiation time course was based on our immunohistochemical characterisation of retinal organoids (already published by our group in [47, 53]). This analysis has shown that day 35 (early stage) is characterised by the presence of an apical layer packed with VSX2 progenitors and a basal layer where the putative ganglion/amacrine HuC/D positive cells reside. The middle stage of differentiation (day 90) is characterised by the presence of RPE, photoreceptor precursors, RGCs and Müller glia cells, whilst day 150 is characterised by the presence of all key retinal cell types including photoreceptors, amacrine, bipolar and horizontal cells, as well as RGCs and Müller glia cells.

A thick layer of retinal progenitor cells, expressing VSX2, on the apical edge of retinal organoids derived from hESCs (**Figure 1A-D**) and hiPSCs (**Figure S4**) was observed in all conditions at day 35 of differentiation. Recoverin-positive photoreceptors and HuC/D-positive amacrine/ganglion cells were found in the centre of retinal organoids in all

conditions (**Figure 1A-D** and **Figure S4**). The presence of ganglion cells (mostly in the centre of organoids) was confirmed by immunostaining with the retinal ganglion cell marker, RBPMS (**Figure S5**). Crx, a marker for post-mitotic photoreceptors, was found across the entire neural retina, showing some positive cells in the centre as well as at the apical edge of organoids, where retinal progenitor cells are located (**Figure 1A-D** and **Figure S4**). Cell quantifications of retinal progenitor cells (VSX2), photoreceptor precursors (Crx and Recoverin), amacrine/ganglion cells (HuC/D) and ganglion cells (RBPMS) revealed no significant differences between all conditions (**Figure 1E-H** and **Figure S5**). This is in line with morphological observations which showed a similar percentage of organoids had developed neural retina (with or without RPE) across different conditions at day 35 of differentiation (**Figure S6**).

In comparison to day 35, a significant increase in retinal organoid growth was observed (**Figure S7**) at day 90. At the same time the percentage of retinal organoids containing neural retinal structures with or without RPE (**Figure S6B, D**) also increased at this timepoint. There were no significant differences between all groups, except for decel RPE condition of hESCs, which promoted formation of NR with RPE organoids ( $p < 0.01$ ) compared to the control conditions (**Figure S6B**). A thick layer of retinal progenitor cells was found in all conditions at day 90 of differentiation with a striking increase in the number of photoreceptors and amacrine/ganglion cells compared to day 35 of differentiation in both cell lines and all conditions (**Figure 2A-D** and **Figure S8**). The majority of HuC/D-positive amacrine and ganglion cells and RBPMS-positive ganglion cells were observed in the centre of organoids rather than across the neural retina (**Figure 2A-D** and **Figure S5**). A thin layer of photoreceptors, co-expressing Crx and Recoverin, was found at the apical edge of organoids; however Crx and Recoverin photoreceptor precursors were also found across the organoids as well as their basal side (**Figure 2A-D, Figure S8A-D**). NRL and RXR $\gamma$ , which mark the post-mitotic rod and cone precursors respectively, were expressed at day 90 of differentiation with slightly different distribution pattern. NRL-positive cells were mainly restricted to the apical edge of organoids whereas RXR $\gamma$ -expressing cells were found across the neural retina in all conditions (**Figure 2A-D** and **Figure S8A-D**). In addition, there fewer NRL-expressing cells compared to RXR $\gamma$  cone precursors, as shown by the cell quantification analysis (**Figure 2I, J**). No differences in retinal progenitor cells (VSX2), amacrine/ganglion cells (HuC/D), ganglion cells (RBPMS), photoreceptors (Crx and Recoverin) and rod and cone precursor

marker (NRL and RXR $\gamma$ ) were found between all conditions by the cell quantification analysis (Figure 2E-J and Figure S5). Proliferating cells, detected by the marker protein Ki67 (Figure S9) and apoptotic Caspase 3-positive cells (Figure S10) were seen throughout retinal organoids, revealing no significant differences between culture conditions, except for Ki67 expression at day 35 (control vs decel RPE condition; Figure S9). In summary, these data indicate that supplementation of culture media with CM RPE, decel NR and decel RPE does not affect retinal development at early and middle stages of differentiation.

### ***3.3. ECM supplementation improves retinal differentiation at later stages***

To assess the impact of ECM supplementation and presence of multiple retinal cell types during the later stages of differentiation, a large number of specific marker proteins were used for IHC analyses and TEM was performed for all conditions. Cell quantification analyses and qRT-PCR were also carried out to examine any differences between conditions.

At later stages of retinal differentiation (day 150) the percentage of organoids containing retinal bright phase neuroepithelium at the apical edge, increased slightly in both cell lines and all conditions compared to day 90 of differentiation (Figure S6B, D). A remarkable increase of NR with RPE was seen in all conditions for hESCs in contrast to early stages of differentiation (Figure S6B). Furthermore, there were significantly more NR with RPE organoids ( $p < 0.05$ ) in decel RPE condition compared to control condition (Figure S6B). The development of mature RPE cells was confirmed by TEM, showing more polarized melanosomes in decel RPE retinal organoids (Figure 3D) compared to the control condition.

IHC analysis revealed a thick layer of photoreceptors, detected by Crx and Recoverin, on the apical side of retinal organoids in all conditions (Figure 4 and Figure S11), indicating the formation of an outer like nuclear layer (ONL). In all conditions higher magnifications of Recoverin-positive cells displayed characteristic morphological features of photoreceptors, including the connecting cilium, exemplified by ARL13B immunostaining, and developing outer segments (OS) as demonstrated by G $\alpha$ t1 immunoreactivity (Figure 4 and Figure S11). These findings were confirmed by TEM, revealing the presence of organized photoreceptor-like ultra-structures features including the photoreceptor OS, inner segment (IS), connecting cilium and basal body in all conditions (Figure 3). In addition, an outer limiting membrane (OLM) was observed basal to the IS of developing mitochondria-rich photoreceptors in all conditions (Figure 3). Quantitative qRT-PCR analysis did not show any difference in the

expression of *Crx* and *Recoverin*, moreover the number of Crx- and Recoverin-positive photoreceptors did not differ between conditions (**Figure S12**). IHC analysis indicated that both photoreceptor types were found in all conditions in retinal organoids at day 150 of differentiation (**Figure 4** and **Figure S11**). Rods, detected by Rhodopsin, were evenly distributed across the developing ONL of organoids (**Figure 4** and **Figure S11**) with higher magnifications indicating typical rod morphology. Cell quantification analyses indicated a significant increase in the percentage of rods in CM RPE and decel RPE conditions when compared to control condition (**Figure S12B**). These changes were confirmed by qRT-PCR results, showing higher expression of *RHO* in the CM RPE and decel RPE conditions (**Figure S12A**). This suggested that the supplementation of CM RPE and decel RPE enhanced the development of rods. In contrast to rod expression, the expression of long/middle wavelength (OPN1LW/MW) and short wavelength (OPN1SW) cones was more sporadic within retinal organoids (**Figure 4** and **Figure S11**), suggesting the beginning of opsin expression at this developmental stage. Nevertheless, both OPN1LW/MW and OPN1SW immunoreactive-positive cells were found at both the apical and basal side of retinal organoids and higher magnifications of both cone types display the typical cone morphology at this stage of differentiation (**Figure 4** and **Figure S11**).

All other retinal cell-types (bipolar, horizontal, amacrine, ganglion and Müller cells) were found at day 150 of differentiation in retinal organoids derived from both cell lines and in all conditions (**Figure 5** and **Figure S11**). HuC/D-positive amacrine/ganglion cells were mostly located in the centre of retinal organoids (**Figure 5** and **Figure S11**). This was observed in all conditions (**Figure 5** and **Figure S11**) without any difference in the percentage of HuC/D-positive cells as indicated by the cell quantification analysis (**Figure S12B**). The same was observed for the ganglion cell marker *RBPM5* (**Figure S5**). Interestingly, horizontal cells detected by the marker protein Prox1 were found more towards the apical side of organoids while amacrine cells (Ap2 $\alpha$ -labelled) were located more towards the centre of organoids (**Figure 5** and **Figure S11**), reflecting correct positions of both cell-types within the retinal organization. In all conditions, Müller glia cells (indicated by the CRALBP staining) were observed to span the whole retinal structure akin to what is observed in adult retina (**Figure 5** and **Figure S11**). The development of other retinal cell types such as amacrine cells and Müller cells (indicated by the formation of neurofilaments) was also confirmed by TEM (**Figure 3B**). **In addition, TEM analysis revealed synaptic contacts between**

retinal cells in all conditions (**Figure 3**, arrowheads). Ki67-positive cells (**Figure S9**) were found throughout retinal organoids whereas apoptotic Casp3-positive (**Figure S10**) cells were seen sporadically at day 150 of differentiation; no significant difference in their abundance was observed between the different culture conditions. Collectively these results suggest that the supplementation of culture media with decel RPE had a positive impact on the development of RPE and rods, whereas the development of other retinal cell types including cones was not affected by the supplementation of CM RPE, decel NR or decel RPE at the later stages of differentiation.

### ***3.4. Effect of ECM supplementation on synaptogenesis and the emergence of light responses***

We demonstrated that retinal organoids derived from pluripotent stem cells in all conditions contained all retinal cell-types within a laminated structure similar to the adult retina. But the formation of correct synaptic connections between different cell-types within specialised plexiform layers (outer and inner plexiform layers (OPL and IPL respectively)) as in the normal developing retina, is still challenging for most retinal differentiation protocols [54]. Using synaptic and ribbon synapse specific marker proteins, IHC was performed to investigate the effect of different ECM supplementation at day 150 of differentiation.

Syntaxin, a marker for the presynaptic plasma membrane, was found underneath the putative ONL of retinal organoids in all conditions at day 150 of differentiation (**Figure 5** and **Figure S11**), indicating the formation of putative photoreceptor synapses. IHC analysis with ribbon synapse marker proteins (Bassoon and CtBP2) in combination with vGlut1 (a marker for synaptic terminals of photoreceptors and bipolar cells) and Recoverin was carried out to assess this in more detail. Bassoon and CtBP2 immunoreactivity patches were largely found below photoreceptor nuclei in retinal organoids derived from both cell lines in all conditions (**Figure 6A, B** and **Figure S13A, B**). Co-localisation of Bassoon or CtBP2 respectively with vGlut1 and Recoverin was observed at putative axons/axon terminals as highlighted by higher magnification micrographs (**Figure 6A, B** and **Figure S13A, B**). This suggests that photoreceptors form synaptic connections with second order neurons (bipolar or horizontal cells).

In addition, quantification of Bassoon and CtBP2 patches as well as their median intensity was performed to validate the impact of different ECM supplementation on synaptogenesis. The number of Bassoon-positive patches was significantly smaller in the CM RPE condition compared to control condition (**Figure 6C**), suggesting that the formation of synapses between photoreceptors and second order neurons was less likely to occur in this condition at day 150 of differentiation. In contrast, immunoreactive-positive patches for CtBP2 were not affected by supplementation of culture media with different ECM components (**Figure 6D**). Bassoon and CtBP2 protein expression, analysed by the median intensity quantification, revealed a significant increase in all ECM supplemented conditions compared to control (**Figure 6E, F**), indicating that culturing organoids with different ECM supplementations promotes the expression of proteins that are essential for synaptic transmission between photoreceptors and their postsynaptic partners. **In this context, it is important to note the presence of RIMS1 (Regulating Synaptic Membrane Exocytosis 1) and/or RIMS2 (Regulating Synaptic Membrane Exocytosis 2) peptides in the decel RPE and decel NR samples (Table S5, Table S4).**

To functionally validate the formation of these synaptic connections, we performed multielectrode array (MEA) recordings from the putative GCL to investigate how these cells respond to strong white light pulse (WLP) stimuli as well as to bath application of cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP). Many presumed ON RGCs in retinal organoids derived from hESCs and hiPSCs in control, CM RPE, decel NR and decel RPE conditions at day 150 of differentiation exhibited increase in spiking activity when exposed to high intensity WLP stimuli. The spike raster plots illustrated in **Figure 7A-D** show all ON responses for RGCs that responded at least with a 25% increase in spiking activity following WLP. Panel E illustrates the normalised mean change in spiking activity for all conditions, demonstrating a global increase in responsiveness in all conditions compared to their respective controls. However, the only conditions showing statistically significant increases were the decel NR and decel RPE (**Figure 7E**). In summary, these results showed that both decel NR and RPE ECM supplemented conditions improved the light-driven responses compared with the control.

## 5. Discussion

To date there has been immense progress in generation of organoids from primary and neoplastic tissues and pluripotent stem cells. Retinal organoids in particular have

attracted a lot of excitement and interest in view of their intrinsic self-organisation into lamina (layers) which resemble the adult human retina [55] and the presence of all key retinal cell types. The ability to generate large amount of retinal cells for cell based therapies and to provide a test bed for drug testing and pharmacology screens, makes the pluripotent stem cell retinal organoids the most optimal tool to date. Notwithstanding this fast progress, current differentiation protocols are impeded by several key challenges which include the inability generate the correct proportions of all retinal cell types and to maintain the three clearly separated nuclear layers in long term cultures, failure to establish correct synaptic connections and inability to elicit light responses which are fully comparable to adult retina [40]. Published evidence shows that the early stages of retinal differentiation (eyefield formation, emergence of optic vesicles and optic cups) is influenced by the activity of key developmental pathways including WNT/FGF/TGF $\beta$ /BMP etc. [56]); however photoreceptor survival, emergence and maturation is critically dependent on the interaction with closely situated RPE cells and other retinal cells [57]. In this context, we hypothesised that adult retina and RPE extracellular matrix and growth factors bound therein, may help to expedite and enhance differentiation, synaptogenesis and light responsiveness of retinal organoids. In the last few years, decellularised ECM has gained a lot of traction in the field of tissue engineering due to their ease of standardised production, constant availability and preservation of key growth factors and ECM components that are essential for guiding stem cell differentiation. Although decellularised ECM generation from bovine neural retina has been reported [36], its application as a media supplement in pluripotent stem cell differentiation to 3D retinal organoids has not been carried out previously. In this manuscript we report the successful preparation of decel **ECM-derived peptide mixes** from bovine NR and RPE and **their characterisation by quantitative proteomic analysis and we show that retinal specific decellularised retinal matrices retain essential peptides belonging to well described ECM components** (e.g. collagen, laminin, versican), albeit some of these were decreased during the decellularisation process. **Addition of these decellularised ECM-derived peptide mixes** to the culture media did not seem to affect the early- or mid-stages of differentiation, but it did enhance rod photoreceptor emergence, expression of synaptic markers and light responsiveness of retinal organoids generated from hESCs and hiPSCs, providing for the first time evidence that retinal niches (RPE and NR) contain **ECM-peptides** and/or growth factors which are useful for generation and function of retinal organoids. **We**

performed quantitative mass spectrometry of the peptide mixes derived from decellularisation of NR and RPE and showed differential abundance of collagen chains and versican between the two peptide mixes, which may underline some of the differences in phenotypic consequences reported from this study. However, more targeted studies using supplementation with these differentially expressed ECM peptides are needed to further optimise retinal organoid differentiation from human pluripotent stem cells and their functionality.

It is now well established that RPE plays a critical role in the maintenance of photoreceptors and that RPE cell death and dysfunction is an important cause of many retinal dystrophies. Genetic ablation of RPE in animal models has been shown to lead to a rapid and profound reduction in RPE cell number [58] and a significant reduction in scotopic amplitude of the electroretinogram and a wave gradient. Together these published findings suggest that the functionality and survival of the rod photoreceptors is critically dependent on the RPE cells. This is also corroborated by our study for the addition of decel RPE or CM RPE enhanced rod generation at the later stages of retinal differentiation (day 150). A significant increase in rhodopsin expression was also noted, corroborating data reported by German *et al.* showing that RPE cells promote the synthesis of rhodopsin from photoreceptors under *in vitro* conditions [16]. This effect is cell type specific, as the development of other retinal cells (cones, bipolar, horizontal, amacrine, ganglion and Müller cells) was not affected by the various ECM supplementations.

The generation of retinal organoids containing key retinal cell types from hESCs and hiPSCs have now been reported in several studies [1-6]. However, the formation of correct synaptic connections between different cell-types remains a challenge in the field of retinal differentiation, albeit the expression of synaptic markers has been observed [54]. The retinal organoids in this study were able to generate synaptic connections between photoreceptors and second order neurons such as bipolar or horizontal cells. Our analysis showed that all three media supplementations indicated increased the expression of the synaptic markers, Bassoon and CtBP2, compared to the control conditions. Recently, our group has reported that light responses obtained from hESCs and hiPSCs derived retinal organoids are rather immature and comparable to the earliest light responses recorded from the neonatal mouse retina, close to the period of eye opening [59]. To assess whether ECM supplementation had any impact on retinal function, electrophysiological light

responses were performed, which showed that decel NR and RPE supplementation significantly enhanced the light responsiveness of retinal organoids [59]. Despite the demonstrated enhancement of retinal organoid light sensitivity, the light-driven responses are still very immature and not yet comparable to responses from a fully developed adult retina. The ontogeny of light-driven RGC responses depend on molecular cues and activity-dependent refinement (for review, see [60]). The refinement of synapses onto RGCs and amacrine cells (AC) continues after eye-opening and depends upon visual experience [61, 62]. The light responses presented in this study are weak and sluggish, comparable to responses recorded shortly before eye-opening in the developing mouse retina [59]. Although the photoreceptor synapses seem to reach a reasonable level of maturity in all conditions, it is very likely that the bipolar synapses in the outer and inner plexiform layer are not fully mature yet. The ECM supplemented conditions enhanced the light-driven ON RGC responses. These are indeed very promising findings, although it remains to be determined whether such effect is due to enhanced expression of important building blocks of synaptic functionality and/or the higher number of rods and RPE cells found in retinal organoids cultured in the presence of decel RPE [59].

In summary, our data indicate that decellularised ECM-derived peptides generated from neural retina and RPE play an important role in photoreceptor maturation, synaptogenesis and light responsiveness of pluripotent stem cell derived retinal organoids. The decellularised ECM-derived peptides are easy to generate, amenable to scale up and 3D culture conditions, opening up new possibilities for generation of retinal organoids which mimic adult human retina both in cell type composition and function. Further studies are however needed to assess the immunogenic profile of decellularised ECM matrices in animal models before proceeding with pre-clinical studies.

**Acknowledgments:** This work was funded by the ERC Consolidator award (grant number #614620), the RPFb Innovation award (grant number #GR584), MRC Confidence in Concept award (MC\_PC\_15030), MRC "MICA: The Newcastle Proximity Laboratory" (MR/N005872/1, Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR) of King Abdul Aziz University, Jeddah (grant number 1-287-1434-HiCi), MRC Confidence in Concept award (MC\_PC\_15030). The authors are

grateful to Lisa Hodgson for help with the microscopy and members of Lako's group for fruitful discussions.

**Author contributions:**

BD: experimental design and execution, data analysis; manuscript and figure preparation;

MF: experimental design and execution, data analysis; manuscript and figure preparation;

GH: experimental design and execution, data analysis; figure and manuscript preparation;

**MK, DZ, NCH, MD, PW, DH, KWYD: experimental execution, data analysis;**

NK, JAA, HZH, ES: experimental execution, data analysis, fund raising

ML: study design, data analysis, manuscript and figure preparation and fund raising

All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

## References

1. Eiraku, M., et al., *Self-organizing optic-cup morphogenesis in three-dimensional culture*. Nature, 2011. **472**(7341): p. 51-U73.
2. Eiraku, M. and Y. Sasai, *Mouse embryonic stem cell culture for generation of three-dimensional retinal and cortical tissues*. Nat Protoc, 2011. **7**(1): p. 69-79.
3. Nakano, T., et al., *Self-Formation of Optic Cups and Storable Stratified Neural Retina from Human ESCs*. Cell Stem Cell, 2012. **10**(6): p. 771-785.
4. Assawachananont, J., et al., *Transplantation of Embryonic and Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell-Derived 3D Retinal Sheets into Retinal Degenerative Mice*. Stem Cell Reports, 2014. **2**(5): p. 662-74.
5. Kuwahara, A., et al., *Generation of a ciliary margin-like stem cell niche from self-organizing human retinal tissue*. Nat Commun, 2015. **6**: p. 6286.
6. Takata, N., et al., *An Eye Organoid Approach Identifies Six3 Suppression of R-spondin 2 as a Critical Step in Mouse Neuroretina Differentiation*. Cell Reports, 2017. **21**(6): p. 1534-1549.
7. Gonzalez-Cordero, A., et al., *Photoreceptor precursors derived from three-dimensional embryonic stem cell cultures integrate and mature within adult degenerate retina*. Nat Biotechnol, 2013. **31**(8): p. 741-7.
8. Völkner, M., et al., *Retinal Organoids from Pluripotent Stem Cells Efficiently Recapitulate Retinogenesis*. Stem Cell Reports, 2016. **6**(4): p. 525-538.
9. Zhong, X., et al., *Generation of three-dimensional retinal tissue with functional photoreceptors from human iPSCs*. Nat Commun, 2014. **5**: p. 4047.
10. Meyer, J.S., et al., *Optic vesicle-like structures derived from human pluripotent stem cells facilitate a customized approach to retinal disease treatment*. Stem Cells, 2011. **29**(8): p. 1206-18.
11. Gonzalez-Cordero, A., et al., *Recapitulation of Human Retinal Development from Human Pluripotent Stem Cells Generates Transplantable Populations of Cone Photoreceptors*. Stem Cell Reports, 2017. **9**(3): p. 820-837.
12. Wahlin, K.J., et al., *Photoreceptor Outer Segment-like Structures in Long-Term 3D Retinas from Human Pluripotent Stem Cells*. Sci Rep, 2017. **7**(1): p. 766.
13. Kaewkhaw, R., et al., *Transcriptome Dynamics of Developing Photoreceptors in Three - Dimensional Retina Cultures Recapitulates Temporal Sequence of Human Cone and Rod Differentiation Revealing Cell Surface Markers and Gene Networks*. STEM CELLS, 2015. **33**(12): p. 3504-3518.
14. Lowe, A., et al., *Intercellular Adhesion-Dependent Cell Survival and ROCK-Regulated Actomyosin-Driven Forces Mediate Self-Formation of a Retinal Organoid*. Stem Cell Reports, 2016. **6**(5): p. 743-756.
15. Raymond, S.M. and I.J. Jackson, *The retinal pigmented epithelium is required for development and maintenance of the mouse neural retina*. Current Biology, 1995. **5**(11): p. 1286-1295.
16. German, O.L., et al., *Retinal pigment epithelial cells promote spatial reorganization and differentiation of retina photoreceptors*. J Neurosci Res, 2008. **86**(16): p. 3503-14.
17. Aisenbrey, S., et al., *Retinal pigment epithelial cells synthesize laminins, including laminin 5, and adhere to them through alpha3- and alpha6-containing integrins*. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2006. **47**(12): p. 5537-44.
18. Clark, S.J., et al., *Mapping the differential distribution of glycosaminoglycans in the adult human retina, choroid, and sclera*. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2011. **52**(9): p. 6511-21.
19. Keenan, T.D., et al., *Mapping the differential distribution of proteoglycan core proteins in the adult human retina, choroid, and sclera*. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2012. **53**(12): p. 7528-38.

20. Kolomeyer, A.M., I.K. Sugino, and M.A. Zarbin, *Characterization of conditioned media collected from cultured adult versus fetal retinal pigment epithelial cells*. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2011. **52**(8): p. 5973-86.
21. Gaur, V.P., Y. Liu, and J.E. Turner, *RPE conditioned medium stimulates photoreceptor cell survival, neurite outgrowth and differentiation in vitro*. Exp Eye Res, 1992. **54**(5): p. 645-59.
22. Hsiung, J., et al., *Retinal Pigment Epithelial Cell Conditioned Medium Enhances the Yield of RPE Cells Differentiated from Human Embryonic Stem Cells*. Journal of Clinical & Experimental Pathology, 2015. **5**(2): p. 216.
23. Kolomeyer, A.M., I.K. Sugino, and M.A. Zarbin, *Characterization of the effects of retinal pigment epithelium-conditioned media on porcine and aged human retina*. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol, 2013. **251**(6): p. 1515-28.
24. Kaempf, S., et al., *Novel organotypic culture model of adult mammalian neurosensory retina in co-culture with retinal pigment epithelium*. J Neurosci Methods, 2008. **173**(1): p. 47-58.
25. Chirco, K.R., et al., *Preparation and evaluation of human choroid extracellular matrix scaffolds for the study of cell replacement strategies*. Acta Biomater, 2017. **57**: p. 293-303.
26. Ott, H.C., et al., *Perfusion-decellularized matrix: using nature's platform to engineer a bioartificial heart*. Nat Med, 2008. **14**(2): p. 213-21.
27. Sun, D., et al., *Novel decellularized liver matrix-alginate hybrid gel beads for the 3D culture of hepatocellular carcinoma cells*. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, 2018. **109**: p. 1154-1163.
28. Baptista, P.M., et al., *The use of whole organ decellularization for the generation of a vascularized liver organoid*. Hepatology, 2011. **53**(2): p. 604-17.
29. Petersen, T.H., et al., *Tissue-engineered lungs for in vivo implantation*. Science, 2010. **329**(5991): p. 538-41.
30. Ott, H.C., et al., *Regeneration and orthotopic transplantation of a bioartificial lung*. Nat Med, 2010. **16**(8): p. 927-33.
31. Song, J.J., et al., *Regeneration and experimental orthotopic transplantation of a bioengineered kidney*. Nat Med, 2013. **19**(5): p. 646-51.
32. De Waele, J., et al., *3D culture of murine neural stem cells on decellularized mouse brain sections*. Biomaterials, 2015. **41**: p. 122-131.
33. Agmon, G. and K.L. Christman, *Controlling stem cell behavior with decellularized extracellular matrix scaffolds*. Curr Opin Solid State Mater Sci, 2016. **20**(4): p. 193-201.
34. Zhang, X. and J. Dong, *Direct comparison of different coating matrix on the hepatic differentiation from adipose-derived stem cells*. Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 2015. **456**(4): p. 938-44.
35. Ponce Márquez, S., et al., *Decellularization of bovine corneas for tissue engineering applications*. Acta Biomaterialia, 2009. **5**(6): p. 1839-1847.
36. Kundu, J., et al., *Decellularized retinal matrix: Natural platforms for human retinal progenitor cell culture*. Acta Biomater, 2016. **31**: p. 61-70.
37. Ji, J., et al., *Decellularized matrix of adipose-derived mesenchymal stromal cells enhanced retinal progenitor cell proliferation via the Akt/Erk pathway and neuronal differentiation*. Cytotherapy, 2018. **20**(1): p. 74-86.
38. Singh, D., et al., *A biodegradable scaffold enhances differentiation of embryonic stem cells into a thick sheet of retinal cells*. Biomaterials, 2018. **154**: p. 158-168.
39. Tezel, T.H., L.V. Del Priore, and H.J. Kaplan, *Reengineering of aged Bruch's membrane to enhance retinal pigment epithelium repopulation*. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2004. **45**(9): p. 3337-48.
40. Hallam, D., et al., *An Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell Patient Specific Model of Complement Factor H (Y402H) Polymorphism Displays Characteristic Features of Age-Related Macular Degeneration and Indicates a Beneficial Role for UV Light Exposure*. Stem Cells, 2017. **35**(11): p. 2305-2320.

41. Buskin, A., et al., *Disrupted alternative splicing for genes implicated in splicing and ciliogenesis causes PRPF31 retinitis pigmentosa*. Nature Communications, 2018. **9**(1): p. 4234.
42. Medberry, C.J., et al., *Hydrogels derived from central nervous system extracellular matrix*. Biomaterials, 2013. **34**(4): p. 1033-40.
43. Di Ferrante, N., *Turbidimetric measurement of acid mucopolysaccharides and hyaluronidase activity*. J Biol Chem, 1956. **220**(1): p. 303-6.
44. Rago, R., J. Mitchen, and G. Wilding, *DNA fluorometric assay in 96-well tissue culture plates using Hoechst 33258 after cell lysis by freezing in distilled water*. Anal Biochem, 1990. **191**(1): p. 31-4.
45. Melguizo-Sanchis, D., et al., *iPSC modeling of severe aplastic anemia reveals impaired differentiation and telomere shortening in blood progenitors*. Cell Death & Disease, 2018. **9**(2): p. 128.
46. Mellough, C.B., et al., *IGF-1 Signaling Plays an Important Role in the Formation of Three-Dimensional Laminated Neural Retina and Other Ocular Structures from Human Embryonic Stem Cells*. Stem Cells, 2015.
47. Felemban, M., et al., *Extracellular matrix component expression in human pluripotent stem cell-derived retinal organoids recapitulates retinogenesis in vivo and reveals an important role for IMPG1 and CD44 in the development of photoreceptors and interphotoreceptor matrix*. Acta Biomater, 2018. **74**: p. 207-221.
48. Zimmer, C., et al., *Segmentation and tracking of migrating cells in videomicroscopy with parametric active contours: a tool for cell-based drug testing*. IEEE Trans Med Imaging, 2002. **21**(10): p. 1212-21.
49. Kapur, J.N., P.K. Sahoo, and A.K.C. Wong, *A new method for gray-level picture thresholding using the entropy of the histogram*. Computer Vision, Graphics, and Image Processing, 1985. **29**(3): p. 273-285.
50. Hilgen, G., et al., *Pan-retinal characterisation of Light Responses from Ganglion Cells in the Developing Mouse Retina*. Sci Rep, 2017. **7**: p. 42330.
51. Muthmann, J.O., et al., *Spike Detection for Large Neural Populations Using High Density Multielectrode Arrays*. Front Neuroinform, 2015. **9**: p. 28.
52. Hilgen, G., et al., *Unsupervised Spike Sorting for Large-Scale, High-Density Multielectrode Arrays*. Cell Rep, 2017. **18**(10): p. 2521-2532.
53. Dorgau, B., et al., *Laminin  $\gamma$ 3 plays an important role in retinal lamination, photoreceptor organisation and ganglion cell differentiation*. Cell Death Dis, 2018. **9**(6): p. 615.
54. Llonch, S., M. Carido, and M. Ader, *Organoid technology for retinal repair*. Dev Biol, 2018. **433**(2): p. 132-143.
55. Hunt, N.C., et al., *The Application of Biomaterials to Tissue Engineering Neural Retina and Retinal Pigment Epithelium*. Adv Healthc Mater, 2018: p. e1800226.
56. Mellough, C.B., et al., *Lab generated retina: realizing the dream*. Vis Neurosci, 2014. **31**(4-5): p. 317-32.
57. Brzezinski, J.A. and T.A. Reh, *Photoreceptor cell fate specification in vertebrates*. Development, 2015. **142**(19): p. 3263-73.
58. Longbottom, R., et al., *Genetic ablation of retinal pigment epithelial cells reveals the adaptive response of the epithelium and impact on photoreceptors*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2009. **106**(44): p. 18728-18733.
59. Hallam, D., et al., *Human induced pluripotent stem cells generate light responsive retinal organoids with variable and nutrient dependent efficiency*. Stem Cells, 2018.
60. Tian, N., *Developmental mechanisms that regulate retinal ganglion cell dendritic morphology*. Dev Neurobiol, 2011. **71**(12): p. 1297-309.
61. Tian, N., *Visual experience and maturation of retinal synaptic pathways*. Vision Res, 2004. **44**(28): p. 3307-16.

62. He, Q., P. Wang, and N. Tian, *Light-evoked synaptic activity of retinal ganglion and amacrine cells is regulated in developing mouse retina*. *Eur J Neurosci*, 2011. **33**(1): p. 36-48.

## Figure Legends

### **Figure 1: hESCs-derived retinal organoid characterisation at day 35 of differentiation in all four culture conditions.**

(A-D) Expression of retinal progenitor cells (VSX2, green), photoreceptors (Crx, green; Recoverin, red) and amacrine/ganglion cells (HuC/D, green) in control (A), CM RPE (B), decel NR (C) and decel RPE (D) condition, showing a thick apical layer of retinal progenitor cells and some photoreceptors across the whole organoid whereas most ganglion/amacrine cells were found in the centre of organoids. Nuclei are counterstained with Hoechst. Abbreviations: Hoe, Hoechst; Recov, Recoverin. Scale bars =20  $\mu$ m. (E-H) Cell quantification graphs of VSX2 (E), Crx (F), Recoverin (G) and HuC/D (H), revealing no significant differences between the control (green) and CM RPE (red), decel NR (blue) or decel RPE (yellow) conditions. Data is shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM, n=6-8 experiments performed in hESCs & hiPSCs.

### **Figure 2: hESCs-derived retinal organoid characterisation at day 90 of differentiation in all four culture conditions.**

(A-D) Expression of retinal progenitor cells (VSX2, green), photoreceptors (Crx, green; Recoverin, red), amacrine/ganglion cells (HuC/D, green), rod precursors (NRL, green) and cone precursors (RXR $\gamma$ , red) in control (A), CM RPE (B), decel NR (C) and decel RPE (D) condition, showing a thick apical layer of retinal progenitor cells, photoreceptors in the apical and central sides of organoids and some rod precursor cells apically. Ganglion/amacrine cells and cone precursor cells are distributed throughout the whole organoid. Nuclei are counterstained with Hoechst. Abbreviations: Hoe, Hoechst; Recov, Recoverin. Scale bars =20  $\mu$ m. (E-J) Cell quantification graphs of VSX2 (E), Crx (F), Recoverin (G), HuC/D (H), NRL (I) and RXR $\gamma$  (J), revealing no significant differences between the control (green) and CM RPE (red), decel NR (blue) or decel RPE (yellow) conditions. Data is shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM, n=6-8 experiments performed in hESCs & hiPSCs.

### **Figure 3: Transmission electron microscopy analysis of hESCs-derived retinal organoids in all culture conditions.**

Ultrastructural analysis revealed photoreceptors containing inner (IS) and outer (OS) segments, connecting cilium (cc), basal body (bb) and an outer limiting membrane (OLM)

underneath putative photoreceptor IS in control (A), CM RPE (B), decel NR (C) and decel RPE (D) condition. Other cell types including amacrine and Müller cells identified by the formation of neurofilaments were shown exemplary in CM RPE condition (B). **Synaptic contacts (arrowheads) were found in all culture conditions (A-D).** Melanosomes located in the apical part of organoids are shown in the “decel RPE” condition (D). **Scale bars: 500µm, 10µm, 2 µm or 0.5µm.** Abbreviations: AC: amacrine cells; bb: basal body; cc: connecting cilium; IS: photoreceptor inner segments; mt: mitochondria; M: melanosomes; NF: neurofilaments; OLM: outer limiting membrane; OS: photoreceptors outer segments; SV: synaptic vesicles.

**Figure 4: Photoreceptor characterization in hESCs-derived retinal organoid at day 150 of differentiation in all culture conditions.**

(A-D) Expression of photoreceptors (Crx, green; Recoverin, red) including mature marker for rods (Rho, green) and cones (OPN1LW/MW, red; OPN1SW, red) as well as a photoreceptor outer segment marker (Gαt1, red) in control (A), CM RPE (B), decel NR (C) and decel RPE (D) condition. In all conditions, photoreceptors (rods and cones) displayed the characteristic morphological features of photoreceptors, including the connecting cilium and outer segment formation. Nuclei are counterstained with Hoechst. Abbreviations: Hoe, Hoechst; Rho, Rhodopsin; Recov, Recoverin. Scale bars =20 µm or 10 µm.

**Figure 5: Retinal cell-type characterization in hESCs-derived retinal organoid at day 150 of differentiation in all culture conditions.**

(A-D) Expression of amacrine/ganglion cells (HuC/D, green), bipolar cells (PKCα, green), horizontal cells (Prox1, red), amacrine cells (AP2α, green), Müller cells (CRALBP, green), connecting cilium (ARL13B, red) and synaptic marker (Syntaxin, green) in control (A), CM RPE (B), decel NR (C) and decel RPE (D) condition. All retinal cell-types were expressed in organoids of all four conditions as well as the synaptic marker protein Syntaxin, indicating the formation of synapses between retinal cell types. Nuclei are counterstained with Hoechst. Abbreviations: Hoe, Hoechst. Arrowheads indicate PKCα expression and stars indicate background staining. Scale bars =20 µm.

**Figure 6: Synaptic marker expression in hESCs-derived retinal organoids at day 150 in all culture conditions.**

(A, B) Expression of synaptic marker Bassoon (green; A) and CtBP2 (green; B) in combination with Recoverin (Recov, cyan) and vGlut1 (magenta) in control, CM RPE, decel NR and decel RPE condition of retinal organoids derived from hESCs (A,B). Co-localisation of all marker (A,B insets in top panels) and Bassoon (A, bottom panels) or CtBP2 (B, bottom panels) in combination with vGlut1 indicates putative photoreceptor synapses at axon terminals. Abbreviations: Recov, Recoverin. Scale bars =20  $\mu\text{m}$  or 10  $\mu\text{m}$  (insets). (C, D) Quantification of Bassoon- (C) and CtBP2- (D) immunoreactive patches in hPSCs-derived organoids showed a significant decrease of Bassoon patches in CM RPE condition compared to control. (E, F) Median intensity quantification of Bassoon (E) and CtBP2 (F) in hPSCs-derived retinal organoids revealed an increase of CM RPE, decel NR and decel RPE when compared to control condition. Data is shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM, n=6-8 experiments performed in hESCs and hiPSCs. Differences were considered statistically significant at \* $p < 0.05$  and \*\*\*\* $p < 0.0001$ .

**Figure 7: Light-driven spiking activity recorded from presumed ON RGCs & change of RGC spiking activity in four conditions of retinal organoids derived from hPSCs at day 150 of differentiation.**

(A-D) Spike raster plots (SRPs) from RGCs of the different groups that showed a 25% increase in spiking activity during pulsed white light (WLP, see methods). In the raster plot, each small vertical bar indicates the time stamp of a spike, where each row represents a different RGC. The left half illustrates the activity before stimulus onset and, separated by the red line, the right half the activity when exposed to WLP. A) SRPs from the control group; B) SRPs from the CM RPE group; C) SRPs from the decel NR group; D) SRPs from the decel RPE group. E) The change of activity before and after stimulus onset was calculated for each RGC shown in A-D. An unpaired t-test was applied this data to estimate the difference between the control group to the other three groups, differences were considered statistically significant for (E) decel RPE vs control \* $p = 0.0148$  and decel NR vs control \*\*\* $p$

= 0.0009. For better visualisation the means (+SEM) were normalised (as a fraction) within each cell line.

**Figure S1: Schematic diagram showing the retinal organoid differentiation protocol from hESCs and hiPSCs.**

**Figure S2: Immunostaining of secondary antibody controls in hPSC-derived retinal organoids.**

Different secondary antibodies [anti-mouse (IgG) Alexa Fluor 488, anti-rabbit (IgG) Alexa Fluor 488, anti-rabbit (IgG) Alexa Fluor 647, anti-rabbit (IgG) Cy3, and anti-guinea pig (IgG) Cy3] were used to differentiate non-specific binding in tested retinal organoids: day 35 (A), day 90 (B) and day 150 (C). For all secondary antibodies no non-specific binding was found. Scale bars = 50µm.

**Figure S3: Assessment of cellular material presence and ECM composition of RPE normal and conditioned media (CM RPE), native and decellularised neural retina (decel NR) and RPE (decel RPE).**

(A) DNA content of native tissue was significantly decreased in decel NR and RPE tissue (µg/mg of dry) tissue after the decellularisation process. (B-D) Collagen, laminin, sulphated GAGs and Hyaluronic Acid content in (B) normal and RPE conditioned media (CM RPE; µg/ml), (C) native and decel NR (µg/mg of dry tissue), (D) native and decel RPE (µg/mg of dry tissue). After decellularisation all tested ECM components were significantly increased in the CM RPE compared to the native tissue (B). In decel NR tissue sulphated GAGs and Hyaluronic Acid (C) and in decel RPE tissue Collagen, sulphated GAGs and Hyaluronic Acid (D) were significantly reduced after decellularization. Data is shown as mean ± SEM, n = 3. Differences were considered statistically significant at \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001 and \*\*\*\*p < 0.0001.

**Figure S4: hiPSCs-derived retinal organoid characterisation at day 35 of differentiation in all four culture conditions.**

(A-D) Expression of retinal progenitor cells (VSX2, green), photoreceptors (Crx, green; Recoverin, red) and amacrine/ganglion cells (HuC/D, green) in control (A), CM RPE (B), decel NR (C) and decel RPE (D) condition, revealing a layer of retinal progenitor cells at the apical side of organoids. Photoreceptors were found across the whole organoid while most ganglion/amacrine cells are located in the centre of organoids. Nuclei are counterstained with Hoechst. Abbreviations: Hoe, Hoechst; Recov, Recoverin. Scale bars =20  $\mu$ m.

**Figure S5: RBPMS expression in hPSCs-derived retinal organoids in all culture conditions.**

(A-D) Expression of RBPMS in control (A), CM RPE (B), decel NR (C) and decel RPE (D) condition at day 35, 90 and 150 of retinal differentiation. At day 35 RBPMS-positive ganglion cells were found mostly in the centre of retinal organoids, whereas RBPMS positive cells were located throughout the organoids at day 90 of differentiation in all conditions. At day 150 RBPMS expression revealed immunoreactivity in the centre of organoids. Nuclei are counterstained with Hoechst (Hoe). Scale bars =20  $\mu$ m. (E-G) Cell quantification graphs of RBPMS at day 35 (E), 90 (F) and 150 (G), revealing no significant differences between the control (green) and CM RPE (red), decel NR (blue) or decel RPE (yellow) conditions. Data is shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM, n=6-8 experiments performed in hESCs & hiPSCs.

**Figure S6: Development of hESCs- and hiPSCs-derived retinal organoids.**

(A and C) Representative examples of bright field images showing the development (day 35-150) of retinal organoids derived from hESCs (A) and hiPSCs (C) in four different culture conditions: control, RPE conditioned media (CM RPE), decellularised neural retina (decel NR) and decellularised RPE (decel RPE). (B and D) Schematic charts showing developmental changes (day 35-150) of hESCs-derived (B) and hiPSCs-derived (D) retinal organoids with respect to the appearance of organoids with either neural retina (NR) or RPE and organoids possessing both, NR and RPE. n=6-8 experiments performed in hESCs and hiPSCs. RPE= organoids with RPE spheres only, NR= organoids with neural retina only, NR+RPE =

organoids with neural retina & RPE and undefined = organoids that did not contain any neural retina or RPE cells. Scale bars =100  $\mu\text{m}$ .

**Figure S7: Relative retinal organoid size during the differentiation of hPSCs.**

Relative retinal organoid diameter at day 35 (A) day 90 (B) and day 150 (C) of differentiation, revealing no differences between control (green) and CM RPE (red), decel NR (blue) or decel RPE (yellow) condition respectively at each day, except for decel NR at day 150. The organoids size increased significantly from day 35 to day 90 but not from day 90 to day 150. Data is shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM, n=100-140 organoids obtained from 6-8 experiments performed in hESCs and hiPSCs Differences were considered statistically significant at \*p < 0.05.

**Figure S8: hiPSCs-derived retinal organoid characterisation at day 90 of differentiation in all four culture conditions.**

(A-D) Expression of retinal progenitor cells (VSX2, green), photoreceptors (Crx, green; Recoverin, red), amacrine/ganglion cells (HuC/D, green), rod precursors (NRL, green) and cone precursors (RXR $\gamma$ , red) in control (A), CM RPE (B), decel NR (C) and decel RPE (D) condition, revealing an apical layer of retinal progenitor cells and photoreceptors, rod precursor and cone precursor cells in the apical and central sides of organoids. Ganglion/amacrine are distributed throughout the whole organoids. Nuclei are counterstained with Hoechst. Abbreviations: Hoe, Hoechst; Recov, Recoverin. Scale bars =20  $\mu\text{m}$ .

**Figure S9: Ki67 expression in hPSCs-derived retinal organoids during differentiation in all culture conditions.**

(A-D) Expression of Ki67 in control (A), CM RPE (B), decel NR (C) and decel RPE (D) condition, at day 35, 90 and 150 of retinal differentiation, revealing Ki67-positive cells throughout retinal organoids in all culture conditions and differentiation time points. Nuclei are counterstained with Hoechst (Hoe). Scale bars =20  $\mu\text{m}$ . (E-G) Cell quantification graphs of Ki67 at day 35 (E), 90 (F) and 150 (G), revealing no significant differences between the control (green) and CM RPE (red), decel NR (blue) or decel RPE (yellow) conditions, except

for control vs decel RPE condition at day 35. Data is shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM, n=6-8 experiments performed in hESCs & hiPSCs. Differences were considered statistically significant at \*p < 0.05.

**Figure S10: Caspase 3 expression in hPSCs-derived retinal organoids during differentiation in all culture conditions.**

(A-D) Expression of Caspase 3 in control (A), CM RPE (B), decel NR (C) and decel RPE (D) condition, at day 35, 90 and 150 of retinal differentiation. Some Caspase 3-positive cells were detected throughout retinal organoids at all days of differentiation and in all culture conditions (A-D). Nuclei are counterstained with Hoechst (Hoe). Scale bars =20  $\mu$ m. (E-G) Cell quantification graphs of Caspase 3 at day 35 (E), 90 (F) and 150 (G), revealing no significant differences between the control (green) and CM RPE (red), decel NR (blue) or decel RPE (yellow) conditions. Data is shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM, n=6-8 experiments performed in hESCs & hiPSCs.

**Figure S11: hiPSCs-derived retinal organoid characterisation at day 150 of differentiation in all culture conditions.**

(A-D) Expression of photoreceptors (Crx, green; Recoverin, red) separated into rods (Rho, green) and cones (OPN1LW/MW, red; OPN1SW, red), amacrine/ganglion cells (HuC/D, green), bipolar cells (PKC $\alpha$ , green), horizontal cells (Prox1, red), amacrine cells (AP2 $\alpha$ , green), Müller cells (CRALBP, green) and a photoreceptor outer segment (Gat1, red), connecting cilium (ARL13B, red) and synaptic marker (Syntaxin, green) in control (A), CM RPE (B), decel NR (C) and decel RPE (D) condition. Both photoreceptor types (rods and cones) were found in all four conditions, exhibiting characteristic morphological features of photoreceptors like connecting cilium and outer segment formation. All other retinal cell-types were found in all four conditions as well as the synaptic marker protein Syntaxin. Nuclei are counterstained with Hoechst. Abbreviations: Hoe, Hoechst; Rho, Rhodopsin; Recov, Recoverin.

**Figure S12: Expression of retinal markers in day 150 retinal organoids derived from hESCs and hiPSCs in all culture conditions.**

(A) qRT-PCR analysis of retinal marker expression For *CRX*, *RCVRN*, *MATH5* and *RHO* in control (green) and CM RPE (red), decel NR (blue) or decel RPE (yellow) condition , revealing a significant upregulation in *RHO* gene expression in CM RPE and decel RPE condition compared to the control group (B) Cell quantification analysis of retinal marker Crx, Recoverin, HuC/D and Rhodopsin (RHO) showed also a significant increase in CM RPE and decel RPE condition compared to control. Data is shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM, n=6-8 experiments performed in hESCs and hiPSCs. Differences were considered statistically significant at \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01 and \*\*\*\*p < 0.0001.

**Figure S13: Expression of synaptic markers in day 150 retinal organoids derived from hiPSCs in all culture conditions.**

(A, B) Synaptic marker expression of Bassoon (green; A) and CtBP2 (green; B) in combination with Recoverin (Recov, cyan) and vGlut1 (magenta) in control, CM RPE, decel NR and decel RPE condition of retinal organoids derived from hiPSCs (A,B). Axon terminal with putative photoreceptor synapses are indicated by co-localisation of all marker (A,B insets in top panels) and Bassoon (A, bottom panels) or CtBP2 (B, bottom panels) in combination with vGlut1. Abbreviations: Recov, Recoverin. Scale bars =20  $\mu$ m or 10  $\mu$ m (insets).

**Table S1: List of antibodies used for immunohistological analysis.**

**Table S2: List of primers used for qRT-PCR study.**

**Table S3: DNA and ECM composition in RPE media, RPE conditioned media (CM RPE), native retina & RPE and decel NR & RPE**

**Table S4: Peptides and proteins identified in the decel NR, n=3.**

**Table S5: Peptides and proteins identified in the decel RPE, n=3.**

**Table S6: Comparative peptide abundance between decel NR and RPE, n=3.**